1		ED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2		DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS ASTERN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATE OF AMERICA,	) ) Docket No. 11 CR 00918
4	Plaintiff	
5	vs.	Chicago Illinois
6	MCKENZIE J. CARSON,	) Chicago, Illinois ) October 15, 2015 ) 10:40 AM
7	Defendant	/
8	TDANCODIDT OF	= DDOCEEDINGS   Sontanging
9	BEFORE THE H	F PROCEEDINGS - Sentencing ONORABLE ELAINE E. BUCKLO
10	APPEARANCES:	
11	For the Plaintiff:	HON. ZACHARY T. FARDON
12	FOI the Flamtin.	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
13		BY: MS. JENNIFER H. LEVIN 219 South Dearborn Street, Suite 500 Chicago, Illinois, 60604
14		Chicago, Illinois 60604
15	For the Defendant:	LAW OFFICE OF WILLIAM D. SHAVER BY: MR. WILLIAM D. SHAVER
16		150 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 2800
17		Chicago, Illinois 60601
18	ALSO PRESENT:	MR. TROY GROOMS, Probation Officer.
19		
20		
21		
22	Court Reporter:	SANDRA M. TENNIS, CSR, RMR, FCRR Official Court Reporter
23		219 S. Dearborn Street, Room 2260 Chicago, Illinois 60604
24		(312) 554-8244 sandra_tennis@ilnd.uscourts.gov
25		

1 THE CLERK: 11 CR 918, Defendant one, USA versus Carson, for sentencing. 2 THE COURT: Good morning. 3 4 MR. SHAVER: Good morning, Judge. William Shaver on behalf of McKenzie Carson. 5 6 MS. LEVIN: Good morning, Judge. Jennie Levin on 7 behalf of the United States. THE COURT: Good morning. Okay. Mr. Carson can 8 9 come down. There are numerous objections that we need to deal with. 10 11 MR. SHAVER: I believe Mr. Carson wants to address 12 the Court. 13 THE COURT: Well, usually that's the last thing 14 before sentencing. Is there something -- some reason why 15 there should be an exception? 16 MR. SHAVER: He is claiming he has fired me and --17 THE COURT: Well, I already ruled on that. 18 THE DEFENDANT: I have an attorney that's supposed 19 to be meeting with me here today. Heather Winslow is 20 supposed to be meeting with me here today to do the 21 It has been nothing but conflict after conflict sentencina. 22 with -- with him. And he is trying to sabotage my sentence. 23 I don't agree with his sentence memorandum. She is claiming 24 in our sentence that -- objection that I'm stalling, your 25 Honor. I want to get this over with just like -- just like

1 you guys. I'm the one going through the torture every day in fear for her trying to give me life in prison, you know, 2 3 and -- and --4 THE COURT: Well, technically that's not what she 5 is asking. Although, I'm not quite sure what the sentence 6 recommendation from the government means, but we'll get to 7 that. We'll get to that. Have you hired Ms. Winslow? 8 THE DEFENDANT: That's what -- we are in the midst. 9 She is going to be here today to meet with my family and me. 10 She spoke with my aunt yesterday and --11 THE COURT: Call her up, Jackie. 12 THE DEFENDANT: And I'm -- you denied paying for a 13 lawyer so my family -- we're going to get the money together 14 to pay for it. 15 THE COURT: I didn't hear what you just said. I 16 did not what? 17 THE DEFENDANT: You denied giving me a lawyer last 18 time I was here. 19 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Let's at least make 20 sure that the record is very straight. In the first place, 21 you were appointed a lawyer. I don't remember who -- I just 22 noticed that the other day. 23 MR. SHAVER: Chuck Aron? 24 THE COURT: No, before him. MR. SHAVER: Jack Rimland? 25

1 THE COURT: Yes. I don't know -- I can't tell -- I 2 don't have from -- what happened there. He quit, you fired 3 him, or what happened. 4 THE DEFENDANT: The first lawyer, I don't believe 5 you were on the stand. It was a different judge on the 6 stand. 7 THE COURT: There have been --8 THE DEFENDANT: And I was appointed Jack Rimland. 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 THE DEFENDANT: And Jack Rimland, I had him for six 11 months that he never came to see me or accept my calls. And 12 after six months, he withdrew from the case. 13 THE COURT: All right. Well, anyway, is he a 14 Defender? 15 MS. LEVIN: He is. 16 THE COURT: He must be. 17 MS. LEVIN: He was appointed, Judge. And he 18 withdrew because he was fired by the defendant. 19 defendant wanted a new attorney. 20 THE DEFENDANT: No, he was not fired by the 21 defendant. 22 THE COURT: All right. Well, we won't go into 23 whatever the cause of it was, but I saw that. And then, you 24 know, the Federal Defender was again appointed. 25 government -- you know, again, you were having a paid

1 attorney. It was Mr. Aron, who is a very experienced 2 attorney around here. I know you had some disagreements with 3 I saw one letter in the -- on the docket. But then, in 4 the end, he asked to have a second attorney. And so it's 5 highly unusual in a case like this, but I appointed an 6 additional attorney. When I say a case like this, it's 7 highly unusual in any Federal case to have two appointed 8 attorneys. But, in this case, I did appoint a second 9 attorney. And so you had two attorneys to represent you at 10 trial. And then, on the eve of trial, he suddenly decided he 11 needed somebody who -- an additional attorney. And while she 12 was supposed to just be helping prepare, technically, at 13 trial, you had three attorneys, two of whom were highly 14 experienced Federal Panel attorneys. Then after -- frankly, vou know, they --15

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: They were -- they certainly were zealous. They were extremely zealous. At times I thought perhaps their zealousness exceeded civility. But, at any rate, the jury did convict you and the jury convicted you because the evidence was simply overwhelming, despite the fact that you had two --

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor?

THE COURT: -- attorneys who did their best. And you fired them.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: You're absolutely right. I had Chuck Aron. Chuck Aron was a great attorney. I'm not arguing what -- what he is capable of doing. But what he is capable of doing and what he do is two different things. And I let you know this way before trial that he refused to file motions, refused to get the evidentiary hearing, refused to explore special investigators to go outside and do research of my -- on my defense. I wrote a letter to your clerk's office, to you, to Chuck Aron's office, you know. And I went to trial with a lawyer that said, if it was up to him, I would get the rest of my life in prison.

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Ellen Domph is considered one of the most highly respected lawyers I know.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I know he is a great lawyer, but --

THE COURT: But she was, too.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE COURT: I'm not sure what your aunt said to her. She didn't know that there was any reason why she needed to be here today.

THE DEFENDANT: I haven't spoken to Heather firsthand. You know, my aunt spoken with Heather. And, from my understanding, Heather was going to be in the court building today, you know. And I was going to be able to speak to her today to employ her for my sentencing, you know.

And -- and, right now, I don't have an attorney. This is not 1 2 my attorney. 3 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's continue. 4 THE DEFENDANT: And any other thing would be --5 THE COURT: I don't want to say that she should 6 come or not come. She hasn't been hired, apparently. Okay. 7 Anyway, let me continue this. I want to finish at 8 least what I have to say on this because I don't -- I don't 9 know if you will think about it; but, anyway, so then you had 10 three attorneys, you fired them all. Mr. Shaver was 11 appointed. He has certainly, I think, been diligent in 12 representing you in all of this time. So the thing is, it 13 has been two years since the trial, or almost two years. 14 THE DEFENDANT: You say --15 THE COURT: It's a very long time. And this case 16 is -- began in 2011. We need to have it done. So --17 THE DEFENDANT: I was arrested in 2012. 18 THE COURT: You came in last time and you 19 disagreed -- well, it has an 11 CR. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I was arrested in 2012, your 21 Honor. 22 MS. LEVIN: He was arrested, your Honor, I believe 23 it was January 2nd of 2012. It was indicted in late. 24 THE COURT: I see. 25 THE DEFENDANT: I was arrested in 2012.

1 | it 3 | who 4 | war 5 | at 6 | are

THE COURT: Okay. At any rate, the reason I denied it last time is that it sounded like the same thing; that, when an attorney disagrees with you, then you decide that you want them fired. I have no reason to think some other attorney is going to agree with you. And, at this point, we are at sentencing.

THE DEFENDANT: It's so much information that's being left out of my sentence memorandum. It's like, it's surface stuff that is being -- you need to get a real clear picture of me and a real clear understanding of what type of person and individual I am --

THE COURT: I agree with that.

THE DEFENDANT: -- you know, and -- and to just, you know, I'm not saying he didn't discuss me, but it's not detailed. There is a lot of stuff missing that I think you really should know, you know, um. This is my life, your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand.

THE DEFENDANT: You know, and, you know, this isn't -- my life is in your hands. And I believe I -- I deserve a fair shot for you to be able to make the ruling of what type of future I'm going to have, if any, you know. Because it's like -- it's like the world is against me in a sort-of-speak manner. And I'm not getting a chance at a -- a fair chance to show that I can be a productive member of

17

19 20

18

21

22 23

24

25

society, you know. My life can go to a way better direction if you know the type of individual I truly am, you know. You have been misled about many things that I can't sit here and go into. But a memorandum, everything isn't always what it appears to be, you know. But, you know, it's like, you know, I'm already, before birth, you know, coming in fighting. One-in-a-billion chance to even make -- to survive to the womb to come into this world. Then you come into this world and it's like everybody is closing in on you. You know, I mean, you know, I mean, I got certain circumstances that happened in my life that you need to know that led up into the points of things. Do you understand what I'm trying to say here? And just surfacing and missing out -- missing out complete stuff. And, you know, I think Heather can prepare my memorandum much better, you know. And I ---

THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to appoint another attorney for you.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm saying, I'm going to pay for the attorney. If I have to do the memorandum myself, you deny me -- if I got to do my sentence myself, you deny me assistance of a counsel. But I cannot go on with someone I feel is trying to sabotage --

THE COURT: I don't think anybody can say that I have denied you the assistance of counsel.

THE DEFENDANT: And he is trying to -- that

somebody -- how can I move forward with somebody that I feel that's trying to sabotage my sentencing. You know, everybody in this world have personal interests in things. And some things it's very personal to -- to people and -- and certain matters, people can't even make a complete, unbiased decision on things. You know, we all have special interests that we take up on things, you know. And, you know, I'm not saying what anybody ain't educated. I'm not educated. sixth grade education, special ed education. But I can't say this man ain't educated for something he went to school for. I'm not arguing that. But I'm arguing, you know, it's a conflict of interest here. He even told you several -several times that he came to see me and has been -- we've been fueling at each other. It's already bad vibes between To have somebody with bad vibes between them and doing my sentence, doing my sentence, he controls what he put in there and what he not. You know, and -- and last time I was here, I was trying to speak, and you had them remove me from the court. You said you heard enough. And somebody gave me this, Faretta vs. California. Faretta, F-a-r-e-t-t-a, versus California says I have the right to speak and be heard and participate in my defense, you know, to participate in my sentencing and all stages of my -- you know, then I get the objection to the sentence memorandum a day before sentence. I got the -- that objection yesterday. It was mailed out on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the 13th. He got it on the 13th, from my understanding. Ι get the sentence memorandum, what, a week ago, a couple days after the court. So it was that Thursday. I got the sentence memorandum, his sentence memorandum, Thursday. So I had no knowledge that this is just surface -- stuff is missing, a lot of important stuff in this sentence memorandum. You know, if I had -- if I had knowledge of this, we could have addressed this. This is something -this is something that should have been brought way ahead of time. You know, just like everything else, like you said, it has been two years. Yeah, two years. A long two years. I don't want to live in fear every day wondering what my future I want to get it over with myself. But, on the same token, at the same token. I want to be able to do everything to bring about, you know, how you say that? I want to, like -- say, for instance, I didn't -- I didn't hold -- like if I'm holding up the court because I asked for my discovery. No, I -- I -- you know, part of the time was -- we didn't wait too long when I waited a year and a half to get my discovery. You know, but now -- now, as soon as they ready, Lindberg kept telling them to give me my discovery. The next thing I know, he is gone. And, finally, when I get my discovery, it was on a CD that I couldn't even access it. kept on bringing it to you-all's attention. And about time I get the paper print of some of the stuff, I'm being moved

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

four days -- I got the stuff 30 days before trial; and four days before trial, I was moved to the MCC.

THE COURT: Well, your attorneys had it. But, meanwhile, I guess I would like both of you to respond to what he has said.

MR. SHAVER: Judge, you know that the relationship between Mr. Carson and I has been difficult. I have met with him on numerous occasions, both in Kankakee and at the MCC. Without going into the specifics, because I want to maintain the attorney-client privilege, he has not been forthcoming in assisting me in putting together his sentencing memorandum. He says that it doesn't include -- it's just surface. It just doesn't include the things he wants to say. But, frankly, he has never told me what those things are when I've asked. I've done the best that I can based on what I have had. I think it's a pretty good effort.

You know, I'm uncomfortable about representing him. He doesn't want me. He thinks I'm part of some grand conspiracy. I know I'm not -- I'm going to hear all about this later on at some point because he is going to complain about me, as he is going to complain about the other attorneys he has had. But, you know, I've done it to the best of my ability. I filed a motion to withdraw. You denied. I still persevered. I attempted to go over and see him the other day. I wanted to discuss the government's

1	filings with him. We had a major blowup. And I just
2	couldn't continue because nothing was being accomplished.
3	But that's par for the course. I feel very uncomfortable
4	representing Mr. Carson right now. I don't think I should.
5	THE COURT: Did you when did he get the
6	memorandum that you filed?
7	MR. SHAVER: The memorandum I filed?
8	THE COURT: Or you were going to file. I assume
9	you gave it to him before you filed it.
10	MR. SHAVER: I gave him the draft copy the day I
11	filed it. He has had it a long time. I met with him
12	THE COURT: Not just since last week?
13	MR. SHAVER: I've met with him on numerous
14	occasions and asking him if he has read it. The last time,
15	when he actually talked to me, he said he hadn't even read
16	it.
17	MS. LEVIN: It was filed on September 25th, your
18	Honor.
19	MR. SHAVER: September 25th. He has had a draft
20	copy since September 25th.
21	THE DEFENDANT: I was given yeah, I was given a
22	draft copy at the end of September. He said he wasn't going
23	to file that one, that he wanted to take some stuff out. And
24	I got the memorandum I got the memorandum last week. You
25	gave me the memorandum last week. And it came in the mail.

I signed for it. All right? So what he is saying, I can easily prove. I didn't get the memorandum until last week.

And I didn't -- I signed for the objection to the memorandum yesterday. I got the objection to the memorandum yesterday.

MR. SHAVER: I don't care about the attorney-client privilege. I told him that that was what I was going to

privilege. I told him that that was what I was going to file, that it was a draft, but I was going to file it. So he had what I was going to file on the date that I filed it. He has had it. He hasn't even read it.

THE DEFENDANT: I've read the memorandum. I read the draft to the -- to the memorandum. You know, he -- he is saying I ain't read it. You know, I told him I read it. He wants to say I ain't read it. If I ain't read it, I wouldn't have knowledge of it. I wouldn't have knowledge that -- that he is asking for 15 years and that he is -- I wouldn't have knowledge that he is using -- that he is missing stuff, you know.

THE COURT: What is he missing?

THE DEFENDANT: He is missing a lot of detailed stuff. And it's a lot of abuse that I went through as a child that he don't have. He don't have it all in there. There are some things in there I pointed out that, wait, you-all got this -- this wrong. And he goes, oh, don't worry about it, it sounds better that way anyway.

MR. SHAVER: That's not true. That is,

categorically, not true.

THE COURT: You can say whatever you want to say.

I guess the issue is whether -- I don't want this back.

THE DEFENDANT: And it was so bad the other day he went to court that -- the other day, he came to the MCC, the officers at MCC had to intervene. He was --

MR. SHAVER: I asked them to intervene because of the violence of the confrontation. It was -- I was getting no where and it was getting heated. So I knocked on the door and asked them to come in and get me out.

THE DEFENDANT: He is supposed to be a professional. He was yelling at me at the top of his lungs. And at that time I -- you know, I wasn't --

THE COURT: He probably was trying to get you to listen.

THE DEFENDANT: No, no. They -- they brought me into the meeting area, and I told them I need my legal documents. He got upset because I wanted my legal documents. Why do you always need your legal documents, and this, and that, and got to yelling to the top of his lungs at me. I said: Look, please don't yell at me. I respect you. I don't yell at you. He kept yelling at me. Then I ended up yelling back. It got -- it got really bad. And, you know, I got my own problems, you know, and he is supposed to be professional. You know, and I ended up, you know, and -- and

my medication ain't been right for over two -- I wasn't taking my medication. And they -- they -- I ended up in the I've been off medication for, like, two, segregation. three weeks. And they had to give me -- I've been back on my medication for, like, two days now because they said they wasn't going to let me out of the Seg unless I start taking my medication. So they let me out yesterday and put me on the floor where I could be with the doctor that helps me a lot, you know. 

THE COURT: By the way, I didn't notice, and I guess I want the record -- because I saw that in your memorandum, you are referring a lot to mental issues. I went back to look. Now, I can't say for sure that something -- without getting transcripts of every hearing; but, as far as I know, despite having very experienced attorneys who are strong advocates for any -- whatever they can do within the bounds, there was never an issue raised about mental capacity until after the jury verdict and until after you had come in. I'm not saying that it isn't there. I realize you decided there was an issue.

MR. SHAVER: Chuck Aaron filed a motion.

THE COURT: But in terms of --

MR. SHAVER: Chuck Aaron filed the first motion because he thought he was incapable of going to trial.

That's the first time Dr. Dinwiddie --

THE COURT: I missed that going through it vesterday.

MR. SHAVER: That's the first time Dr. Dinwiddie approached him. I followed up and hired Dr. Dinwiddie because I thought he had a history with Mr. Carson and it would aid in his evaluation of him and submitting a memo that might be --

THE COURT: All right. Well, at this rate, there hasn't been any issue other than when he stops taking his medication of his capabilities. And so it's a question of exactly as you arguing it I think in your memorandum; that whether I should take some history into account in terms of his sentence.

MS. LEVIN: And, Judge, I can speak to the appellate issue, not wanting the case to come back to you in terms of the procedural posture and where we are.

THE COURT: Yeah, I mean, the alternative is that I -- well, there are three alternatives. I put it off a month and I appoint -- I don't know if they would even let me just appoint somebody that was handpicked. Usually they don't. Or I give him a chance to hire her and give her one month and continue this one month and have the sentencing or go ahead today.

MS. LEVIN: Right. So I think -- and obviously your Honor read our objection to continuing the sentencing.

1 And, in there, we cite numerous cases by the Seventh Circuit 2 which say that, if somebody has had numerous attorneys and, 3 like the defendant, is going to be on their fifth or is on 4 their fourth, it is not an abuse of discretion to deny a 5 continuance for a trial when they come the day of trial and 6 then they have to represent themselves. So there is -- I 7 think that your Honor has made a strong record in terms of 8 constantly giving the defendant an attorney every time he has 9 had a problem with one; appointing multiple attorneys for his 10 This Court has bent over backwards to accommodate the trial. 11 defendant with respect to the medical examinations; making 12 sure he is on his medicine, his thyroid medicine. 13 been, if you look back at the docket, one thing after another 14 after another that has delayed this for over -- for almost 15 two years, Judge. And the truth of the matter is, these 16 additional details he didn't -- the defendant didn't tell 17 these additional details to probation when he was interviewed 18 for the PSR. The defendant has never mentioned these 19 additional details prior to coming today. He had every opportunity to do so. And it's -- it's on the -- when you 20 21 deny the motion for continuance, now the defendant is coming 22 in when we have our victims here who are, as the Court said 23 last time, entitled to have justice and to move forward with 24 this sentencing and entitled to have closure in this case.

It's the same story every time, Judge. And the

25

1 defe2 the3 toda4 move

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defendant will have an opportunity to address the Court. And the defendant can communicate those details to the Court here today. And it's the government's position that we should move forward with this.

MR. SHAVER: Judge, my --

MS. LEVIN: He can go -- sorry. Either the defendant can proceed pro se, or with Mr. Shaver.

THE DEFENDANT: First off, your Honor, I'd like to say she is speculating what I didn't tell the probation officer. That's pure speculation. She wasn't there. That's not personal knowledge. I told the probation -- there is a lot of things I told the probation officer. The probation officer did not put it in -- there is a lot of things I told the probation officer. He did not put it in his report. And Shaver said that's what the memorandum is for. We'll, I'll put it in your sentence memorandum. It's a lot of things to probation. I even got it highlighted, wrote it on the side. It's even things that the probation wrote down wrong or mixed up, like -- like -- like that my father and mom separated when my father was 60 something years old and my father went to a nursing home. That's not what I told the probation officer. It's an art to listening. And everybody is not good at listening to me because of my speech impediment. Some get annoyed, as you seen through trial how -- how the FBI agent mocked my speech impediment. And Elaine (sic.)

Domph
peopl
stutt
not l
offic
and l

Domph had to ask him, was he mocking me, you know. Some people get annoyed and intolerant of my speech impediment, my stuttering, and so forth, and stop listening. When you're not listening, you miss a lot of information. That probation officer mixed up information, got -- wrote things down wrong and left out a lot of things.

I have been, since my arrest, I've been begging for my right medication from McHenry County to Kankakee to MCC, back to Kankakee. Even at one time Charles Aron said that the government came down to Kankakee and Kankakee told them that my medical condition is fine, that I don't suppose to be on mental health medication. I was forced to go to trial without even being on -- on -- on my medication. When Dr. Dinwiddie clearly put in his report that we recommend before trial that he be medicated on his proper medication or he might not be able to participate in his own defense properly.

THE COURT: Stop. Is any of that true?

MS. LEVIN: No.

THE COURT: Correct it. I need to know that the record is correct and make sure there is no issue.

MS. LEVIN: Yes. So, for the record, Judge, AUSA Bhachu stood in for me one day. And the defendant was making complaints about his medical treatment he was receiving from Kankakee. He said that he wasn't being given his medicine,

1	he wasn't being visited. There was a follow-up; and the	
2	government obtained the medical records, submitted a letter	
3	to this Court, attaching the medical records, showing that he	
4	was receiving his medication and that he was being seen,	
5	contrary to the defendant's claims. Dr. Dinwiddie's report	
6	was attached to I believe the defendant's sentencing	
7	memorandum.	
8	THE COURT: This I mean, this happened but	
9	right now he is saying before trial, that's my concern. This	
10	was after trial.	
11	MS. LEVIN: There was	
12	THE DEFENDANT: I have the documents to back it up,	
13	your Honor. If you want to pass it for a day, I could bring	
14	the documents tomorrow and back up what I'm saying is true,	
15	your Honor.	
16	MS. LEVIN: Judge, this did happen before trial.	
17	There was an evaluation done of the defendant before trial.	
18	THE COURT: Okay.	
19	MS. LEVIN: In that evaluation, Dr. Dinwiddie found	
20	him competent to stand trial. He found, unequivocally, that	
21	he was competent to stand trial. He had depressive disorder.	
22	THE COURT: Was this after this case was	
23	transferred to me?	
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
25	MS. LEVIN: Give me one moment, Judge.	

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, your Honor, it was after 2 the case was transferred to you. I was competent to stand --3 THE COURT: All right. Just a minute. I mean, I 4 know we had the issue in the last few months. 5 THE DEFENDANT: I was competent to stand trial. I 6 had thyroid issues going on that's been going on for years 7 that affects my whole chemical balance and my way of thinking and -- and it controls everything that people don't even 8 realize control that -- I didn't have surgery until the two 9 months before trial and I didn't get on my medication after 10 11 trial, which, in that evaluation, they stated that both of 12 these needed to be taken care of before trial. 13 MS. LEVIN: Judge, the prior -- this case was before your Honor. The evaluation was done per 14 15 Dr. Dinwiddie's report on September 24, 2012. That was 16 approximately three months before this case went to trial. 17 Okay. You're right. THE COURT: I granted a 18 motion for a psychiatric evaluation and it came back that he 19 was -- he was competent. 20 MS. LEVIN: Correct. 21 THE COURT: And was there any issue raised about --22 THE DEFENDANT: It's two parts of the competency. 23 I at some point had him transferred; THE COURT: right? 24 25 MS. LEVIN: Yes, Judge, and that was later on. So

the case proceeded to trial, he was convicted, and he remained at Kankakee County Jail. After -- and I -- I hesitate to say the exact amount of time, but I believe it was about a year after he was convicted the defendant was making additional claims that he was not receiving his medication and was not being seen by the doctors. Mr. Shaver filed a motion to continue the sentencing, an emergency motion saving that he needed to get this medical treatment. The government and defense counsel worked out an agreement and presented it to the Court that --THE COURT: I remember granting that. THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor? 

THE COURT: And I certainly wouldn't have gone ahead with the trial if there had been any issue whatsoever.

MS. LEVIN: There was no issue, Judge.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, inside that evaluation, if you'll allow me to bring it tomorrow, if you want to pass this until tomorrow, it shows two parts of -- of the competency. One part that you understand the role of the court and -- and the proceedings. The other part is your mental health competency. And they stated in there, due to my hyper -- hyperthyroid and my psychotropic meds, not being on it, that they feel if I'm not medicated and I don't have my thyroid dealt with before trial, I will not be able to stand -- participate in my own defense properly. To --

and -- and some -- in that way. You know, not quote, but that's what it summed up to. And I had the documents to prove it. And this wasn't something that I created to --to -- as a stall tactic, as she keeps on arguing. something that has been a life-long situation for me, a life-long situation, you know, where, you know, times I'm not on my medication, right, dealing with drug issues, and stuff. I mean, I started at Kankakee where I got burn marks all over my back from being taised and tortured by the police where I'm cutting and bleeding myself, right there to die, than go through -- who does that? Who cuts on themself and just fills up juice cups of blood trying to, okay, how long is it going to take to get to 15 pints so I can die? Who does that?

MS. LEVIN: Judge, I have a copy of the report, if your Honor --

THE DEFENDANT: I wasn't on my proper medication.

And still to this day, I'm not on my proper medication. I'm on medication, but it's not the medication, even in Dr. Dinwiddie's own report. And not being proper medication, medicated, it plays a part, too, in -- in relationships with other people as far as me and my -- me and Shaver fueling, me and Chuck Aron, the domestic violence in my life with women that I was in relationships with. My wife, I got domestic -- you look at my criminal history, you see domestic violence,

1 domestic violence. I grew up to domestic violence. 2 up in a house full of violence and -- and things I said I 3 don't want to live through when I grew up, I carried on 4 through relationships hurting people that I loved that I did 5 not want to hurt, but I got issues is what -- what I'm saying 6 that needs to be addressed. And -- and if -- you know, how 7 do you solve something by keep on putting a Band-Aid on it 8 instead of fixing the problem? 9 I don't got no education, but it don't take an 10 educated person to understand that much that, if you can't 11 fix -- if you can't solve it, you can't cover up something, 12 you've got to fix it. Do you understand what I'm trying to 13 say, your Honor? 14 THE COURT: I do understand it. 15 MR. SHAVER: Judge, if I may? 16 THE COURT: It does seem to me that you are able to 17 tell me in detail and articulately what -- your evaluation of 18 that evaluation, which, frankly, is only telling me that 19 there isn't any reason why we can't go ahead today. 20 want to know, Mr. Grooms? 21 PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Is there anything that he told you that 23 vou didn't put into this PSR that --24 PROBATION OFFICER: I don't believe so, your Honor.

I was looking at my Form 1, the interview form we used when

25

1 we interview every defendant, and it pairs right up with what 2 the language that's in the pre-sentence report. 3 MR. SHAVER: Judge, I believe, right now, I think 4 my representation is untenable. He is -- the relationship is 5 totally fractured. We have no attorney-client relationship. 6 He has called into question the accuracy of what I've done, 7 the competency of what I've performed. 8 THE COURT: Well, and that will calm down. 9 I -- it seems to me that he can supplement it by saying, as 10 he now has, actually, for the last 40 minutes, but, 11 50 minutes, what he wants to add. I haven't heard anything 12 to think that he -- why I should -- what difference it would 13 make if I continued this, frankly. 14 MR. SHAVER: He has got a name of an attorney that 15 he thinks is going to represent him in a much better fashion 16 than I do. 17 MS. LEVIN: The defendant has felt that about every 18 attorney and every subsequent attorney, Judge. You're 19 absolutely right, nothing is going to change. This Court, as 20 I had mentioned, has given him opportunity after opportunity. 21 If Mr. Shaver -- if the Court does not want to have 22 Mr. Shaver represent the defendant, then the defendant can 23 represent himself. THE DEFENDANT: As she said herself, that she has 24 25 cited cases in the Seventh Circuit why change in defendant --

change in attorneys at sentence, at sentence, those case laws refer to at sentence. This issue arised way before sentence, way before. This isn't the first time we spoke on issues between us. This is not the first time. So that doesn't even apply.

THE COURT: He wasn't appointed until after trial.

THE COURT: He wasn't appointed until after trial.

I mean, there are two issues. The trial is --

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, but what I'm saying, the case law she wanted to throw in there doesn't even apply to this, you know. Because this came up before the sentence date. This came up before the sentence date. She is talking about people that all of a sudden, on sentence date, you know, all of a sudden, on sentence date, I don't want this attorney. No, this issue has been an ongoing issue, and it has been brought up in this court that -- that -- that it has been an issue.

And, like I said, there is a lot of things he didn't put in. The probation officer didn't put a lot of things in. He didn't say -- he is not -- he is not even denying that he didn't. He said he doesn't believe so, your Honor. He doesn't believe so implies doubt, that there is a possibility. And I'm telling you, it's a lot of stuff he left out.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to give you the opportunity to tell me what all he left out, and you can tell

1 me in mitigation. You clearly are capable --2 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: -- of doing this. I have no reason to 4 think that another attorney -- if another attorney was hired 5 or appointed is going to be able to do any more than has been 6 done. 7 THE DEFENDANT: Well, surely an attorney is going 8 to be able to do it better than me. I don't -- I don't know 9 the law. 10 THE COURT: No, but that's not what you think. You 11 say -- you have thought that every single attorney was not 12 doing their job. 13 THE DEFENDANT: I don't -- I don't know the law. 14 THE COURT: I know you don't. But you actually do 15 a good job of understanding --16 THE DEFENDANT: But I understand that there is 17 steps that are -- are -- I understand, if somebody tell me 18 this paper is yours, but it's always in their possession, do 19 you understand what I'm saying? So if -- or you can have 20 water at these certain times, but at those certain times, I'm 21 being denied the water. 22 THE COURT: What have you been denied? 23 THE DEFENDANT: It's a list of things I've been 24 denied. That's all in the letter I wrote to the courts 25 before --

1	THE COURT: I told you the last time
2	THE DEFENDANT: Before trial.
3	THE COURT: when I said I was not going to give
4	you another
5	THE DEFENDANT: You know
6	THE COURT: attorney that if you didn't want to
7	cooperate with him, that then you needed to be prepared.
8	THE DEFENDANT: And and then you you allowed
9	Chuck Aron to put on two more lawyers, which was just a way
10	he wanted to boost his expenses up.
11	THE COURT: Wait a minute, are you saying that I
12	should not have allowed the second and third lawyers?
13	THE DEFENDANT: You shouldn't have allowed Chuck
14	Aron to stay on, as far as I'm concerned.
15	THE COURT: Well, as I remember never mind. I'm
16	not going to get into that. Okay. Let's go ahead. Please
17	be seated.
18	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I I have a right to
19	finish talking before
20	THE COURT: You have a right to talk. You have
21	been talking out of order for the last 50 minutes.
22	THE DEFENDANT: Can you please give me two minutes,
23	please? Can you please give me two minutes? Please, your
24	Honor?
25	THE COURT: Yes. two more minutes, and then you

1 will get to talk again before I sentence and to say 2 everything that you want to say. 3 THE DEFENDANT: You said for mitigation. I 4 can't -- I can't do this sentence by myself. I can't -- if I 5 don't have an attorney, you are forcing me -- you are forcing 6 me to do my sentence thing without the due process of 7 attorney. You asked me what I'm being denied. That's what 8 I'm being denied, due -- due process says --9 THE COURT: No, I'm expecting Mr. Shaver to make 10 whatever arguments that he can make as an officer of the 11 court and as the person who has been representing you for a 12 long time now; and then you can tell me where he is wrong. 13 THE DEFENDANT: He is telling you this relationship 14 is savage (sic), or whatever the word is. He is telling you 15 this is -- there is nothing he can do for --16 THE COURT: Actually --17 THE DEFENDANT: I'm telling you that he is -- I 18 feel he is trying to sabotage. We're both telling you the 19 same thing, and you are sending me to sentence with him or do 20 it yourself, do it without a lawyer. That's what you're 21 telling me. 22 Take a seat. Let's take two minutes. THE COURT: 23 MR. SHAVER: Okay. Thank you, Judge. 24 THE CLERK: All rise. 25 (Recess taken.)

1 THE CLERK: Sentencing continues, 11 CR 918, US 2 versus Carson. THE COURT: All right. We're going to let the 3 4 government go ahead. We're going to deal with these 5 objections, and we'll let the -- those are legal issues. 6 Well, there is factual issues, too, but they're not -- and 7 then I will let the government, as standard here, go ahead and present anything. I will tell you that we are going to 8 9 have to stop for approximately an hour anyway, if we're not 10 done -- it sounds like we won't be done -- at 12:15. 11 to go to a meeting. And we will see where we are and we'll 12 decide later whether we can finish this today or not. 13 So, okay. Let's deal with -- the government had 14 two objections, I believe? 15 MS. LEVIN: Yes, Judge. There were two objections 16 to the criminal history. There were two additional 17 objections. However, they don't affect the guidelines. 18 THE COURT: Right. 19 MS. LEVIN: They were just for --20 THE COURT: On your objections, I think I agree 21 with one and don't agree with the other one. I mean, I don't know if that makes a difference on the criminal history. The 22 23 first one, I don't know that -- I mean, I've read the case 24 she cited that seemed to overrule -- wait a minute, no, no. The first one was actually just a factual thing. 25

MS. LEVIN: Yes, Judge. So our first objection was 1 2 to PSR Paragraph 104. 3 THE COURT: Right. 4 MS. LEVIN: It was for a May 14, 2008 conviction 5 for possession of drug paraphernalia. The actual sentence, 6 as reflected by the attached exhibits, was 62 days as opposed 7 to 31. So there should be two criminal history points for 8 that conviction. 9 THE COURT: Does anybody disagree? 10 MR. SHAVER: I don't disagree, Judge. 11 THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me, your Honor. How is this 12 supposed to work? Because he is not my attorney. 13 THE COURT: He is your attorney. At this point, 14 I'm actually asking probation -- I need to ask Mr. Grooms who 15 is behind you. 16 PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, I agree with the 17 government's objection. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So --19 THE DEFENDANT: I have an objection to government's 20 objection. 21 THE COURT: Are you disagreeing that you were sentenced to a term of 62 days? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: I was sentenced to -- no, it was 24 62 days and I served 31 days, yes. I have no objection. 25 sorry.

1	THE COURT: Okay. The next one had to do with	
2	solicitation of a sexual act, which I actually think is	
3	different than what he has been convicted of. So I'm	
4	actually not going to give him the point for that. So I	
5	don't know if that makes a difference in the criminal history	
6	or not.	
7	MS. LEVIN: I believe that, Judge, defense counsel	
8	had an objection to the criminal history that will also	
9	affect the points.	
10	THE COURT: Okay. So if it on yours, he would	
11	get to two points but no more	
12	MS. LEVIN: Correct.	
13	THE COURT: in addition to what okay. Then	
14	let's turn to the defendants. You definitely have some	
15	objections. Let me find it.	
16	MR. SHAVER: First was on the issue of the computer	
17	enhancement, under the guidelines, the use of the computer.	
18	THE COURT: Oh, that's what I was starting to refer	
19	to.	
20	MR. SHAVER: Yes.	
21	THE COURT: It was actually on your objection.	
22	And	
23	MR. SHAVER: I think you were referring to the	
24	McMillan case?	
25	THE COURT: Yeah.	

1 MR. SHAVER: You know, I think that *Patterson* is 2 still good law. *McMillan* suggests that it's just dictum 3 because, in *Patterson*, one, the government agreed that the 4 enhancement didn't apply in that case. But, two, that the 5 actors were not the same. They say that the actors were not 6 the individuals who were participating in the offense itself. THE COURT: Let me make sure we're talking about 7 8 the same one. You know, I'm missing something. 9 MR. SHAVER: Patterson is the case that says that 10 you cannot use the enhancement. 11 I know what *Patterson* does and I THE COURT: 12 know --13 MR. SHAVER: McMillan is a 2015 case. 14 THE COURT: Right. That's the one in which Judge 15 Posner said --16 In Patterson, they suggested the facts MR. SHAVER: 17 do not establish that there is -- that three-prong persons 18 acting. 19 THE COURT: I agree. But in the most recent case, 20 Judge Posner said that, what do you call it, the statement. 21 MS. LEVIN: The application note for --22 THE COURT: That the note simply contradicted by 23 the language of the guideline. And the language of the 24 guideline clearly would apply here. Even if I disagreed with 25 Judge Posner, it wouldn't be up to me. But I actually think

he is right. 1 2 MR. SHAVER: Well, it is the -- it's the holding in 3 most circuits. And there is a difference between the 4 guidelines and the advisory note. Supreme Court says the 5 guideline applies, but --6 THE COURT: Right. 7 MR. SHAVER: I don't think that *Patterson* is just 8 dictum, as Judge Posner said in that -- in that opinion. THE COURT: But that isn't the issue, whether it is 9 10 dictum or not. The question is whether I need to go by the 11 most recent case and what he says, and I think I do. So --12 and I think -- we can go back and look at the guideline. Ιt 13 was --MS. LEVIN: Judge, it was Guideline Section 14 15 2G1.3(b)(3). And, your Honor, there are two subsections. 16 THE COURT: Right. 17 MS. LEVIN: This is in reference to Subsection B, 18 as in boy. 19 THE COURT: 2G1.2? 20 MS. LEVIN: 2G1.3. 21 Judge, the guideline section reads MR. SHAVER: 22 that -- it provides if the offense involved the use of a 23 computer or interactive computer device to entice, encourage 24 or offer or solicit a person to engage in a prohibited sexual 25 conduct with a minor, increase it by two levels.

1 MS. LEVIN: Judge, I just want to make sure that 2 we're all on the same page. It's guideline Section 3 2G1.3(b)3(b). 4 THE COURT: If the offense involved a use of a 5 computer or an interactive computer service to either 6 persuade, induce, entice, coerce or facilitate the travel of 7 the minor to engage in a prohibited sexual -- in prohibited 8 sexual conduct or entice, encourage, offer or solicit a 9 person to engage in prohibited sexual conduct with a minor, 10 increase by two levels. 11 There is -- there is not really any question, I 12 don't think here, that the facts really support both parts. 13 MR. SHAVER: Yeah, it's --14 THE COURT: The only thing was that odd note. 15 MR. SHAVER: Well, and then --16 It doesn't really seem to have anything THE COURT: 17 to do with it. I actually think --18 MR. SHAVER: And then *Patterson* suggested that it 19 applied to both. The Courts have taken issue with that. 20 McMillan suggested that it was wrong. And I think the only 21 thing I have to hang my hat on is the *Patterson* case. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think that I am 23 obligated to do what Seventh Circuit said, and I do think 24 that's what the guideline says. 25 MR. SHAVER: Okay.

THE COURT:

Okay. Any other objections?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SHAVER: Next is the objection -- the two-point increase for an offense involve the commission of a sex act or sexual conduct. I've briefed the issue. It comes down to whether or not it should -- it's referring to a commercial sex act versus a sexual conduct or sex act. My position is that Carson did have sex with Victim 1, but it was not part of the offense itself. The other offenses are not -- are commercial sex acts which are covered in the other section but not in the section that is appropriate in this case.

Judge, under Guideline Section MS. LEVIN: 2G1.3(b)(4)(a), if the offense involved the commission of a sex act or sexual contact, then two points are added. is no requirement written into the guideline language, no quideline application note that says that the act at issue has to be a commercial sex act. It's the government's position that it does not have to be a commercial sex act. However, Judge, even accepting defense counsel's argument that a commercial sex act is required here, as the defendant admits, he raped Victim 1. That is a non-commercial sex act. As your Honor heard through the evidence at trial, it was part and parcel of the instant offense; and, therefore, it's the government's position that two points are applied under this guideline.

THE COURT: How do you respond to the latter part?

MR. SHAVER: The commercial sex act, it's subsumed in the -- on the offense that he has been convicted of, 1591 makes it part that used to cause a person to engage in a commercial sex act. That is the offense in this case, a violation of 1591. The -- I won't say rape, but, say, having sex with the minor who was in the case but was not a commercial sex act, then, is a separate act in itself and it is not part of the offense of conduct. The offense of conduct is encouraging her to engage in commercial sex acts.

THE COURT: Well, the rape was part and parcel of it because it was part of the coercion to engage in commercial sex acts. So just on that ground alone, I think that the two levels apply. I know you -- you have some arguments about double counting, but those have been rejected at this point by the courts.

MR. SHAVER: They have, Judge.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry, your Honor, could you repeat what you said again, before you said, so you agree?

Could you -- I didn't catch what you said. You said something coercion, but you said something before coercion.

THE COURT: Raping Victim A was, as the testimony at trial showed, part of the coercion to make her engage in prostitution. Okay. Go on.

MR. SHAVER: Next objection. We are objecting to the two-point increase to Carson's offense level in Counts 2

1 and 4 because the victims were, in those counts, were found 2 to be vulnerable victims. We've briefed the issue. 3 says, because of the physical and mental conditions of the 4 victims, Nahrin Lassar, Jessica Sicora and Veronica Del 5 Valle, that they were particularly susceptible to Carson's 6 criminal conduct. You know, they have to be an atypical 7 victim. And our argument is that they are, regrettably, it's very sad, but they're typical victims of the type of crime 8 9 that's involved here, which is prostitution. They are not --10 there is no question about their intelligence. There is no 11 question about their physical meekness. No question about 12 their mental condition. All the condition was suggesting 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

that because they were using drugs, which they had always used in the past, that they were particularly susceptible to his criminal conduct. We don't think, although they are victims in this case, they are atypical victims such that there should be a two-point increase in the offense level. MS. LEVIN: Judge, it's the government's position that these three victims did have vulnerabilities that warrant this enhancement. They were, as the evidence was presented at trial, they were severely addicted to heroin. They had no job. They had no home. They had no families that were looking after them and caring about them. were in desperate need of money. They were in desperate need of shelter. They were in desperate need of love and

affection. And it wasn't just the fact that they were vulnerable victims, it was these precise vulnerabilities that made the defendant choose these victims and choose to target them. This is the precise type of victim that the guideline is trying to protect here. These are not savvy women who were taken advantage of here. These were women who were crying out for help. And it was those specific vulnerabilities that caused the defendant to prey on them.

THE COURT: I agree. All right. There is an objection to the five-point increase.

MR. SHAVER: Pattern of sexual --

THE COURT: The pattern of sexual conduct. As I understand it, the pattern of sexual conduct to which probation and the government are saying five points should apply to is because really we had -- well, I mean, at trial we had five, actually, I think, people testify about the same kind of conduct. It seems to me, if that's the legal definition of a pattern, which I would assume it was, that it clearly applies here.

MR. SHAVER: The definition is two or more instances. It establishes a pattern.

THE COURT: Are you saying it has to be two -well, it doesn't make any difference whether it's two or more
involving the same person or two or more people.

MR. SHAVER: Well, no, it's not two or more people,

it's just those are sexual -- separate instances of sexual conduct. But I think we lose sight of the fact of what this statute was designed to combat. And it's to combat recidivist offenders. People who have in the past have done things that hurt people and they are doing it again. And In this case, it's all the conduct and all of maybe again. the pattern conduct is encompassed in this case. This case has been prosecuted. He has been convicted of those crimes. The guideline levels are affixed by what he was convicted of. And we think this is not applicable to him because there are -- there are no prior cases that they can point to that say that that is a pattern that he has built on in the present conduct.

MS. LEVIN: Judge, may I respond?

THE COURT: Yes, please.

MS. LEVIN: Judge, this guideline punishes activity when there is a pattern of prohibited sexual conduct where a defendant, on at least two separate occasions, engaged in prohibited sexual conduct, here, sex trafficking with a minor. Now, Victim 1 was a minor in this case. We know from the testimony from Victim 1 and from Chris Richardson that the defendant repeatedly trafficked her, sent her on more than one prostitution date. There were more -- there was more than one instance of prohibited conduct here. That alone is sufficient to satisfy the guideline.

To the extent that defense counsel is, and I would just want to address this because it's in his memorandum, attempting to make a double counting argument here, the defendant was convicted of his conduct with Victim 1, now that conduct is being used for the five-point enhancement, that -- that argument is foreclosed by United States versus Von Loh 417 F 3d 710. The government cited that in its response.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. LEVIN: So it's our position, Judge, this is very clearcut. The guideline is applicable based on the evidence that was presented, and we believe that it should be applied.

THE COURT: All right. I was forgetting that this one was just applicable to the minor, but.

MR. SHAVER: It is.

THE COURT: But there was no question that she was sent on multiple dates for prostitution by your client.

MR. SHAVER: And he was convicted of that and his guideline level was set at that. Now we're going to increase it some more based on the offense of conduct in this case.

THE COURT: Well, but it's not uncommon that you start with a base guideline, and then it goes up, depending upon what the circumstances are or what the particular activity, sometimes what it involved. I mean, I don't find

1 that -- I don't find that to be contradicted by the 2 guidelines or the way that they generally are put together. 3 I find that it applies. 4 MR. SHAVER: The last Ms. Levin alluded to is our 5 miscounting of criminal history points. They assessed two 6 criminal history points based on a conviction for pandering 7 in 2008. McKenzie was discharged on October 2nd, 2009, in 8 that case. The offense of conduct in this case by the dates 9 of the indictment is November 2009, the earliest, 10 November 2009 to March 2010, which is after the point of 11 conviction on that prior case. This case shouldn't apply 12 because it wasn't within the --13 THE COURT: Oh, I missed that. 14 MS. LEVIN: I can short-circuit this, Judge. The 15 government is not going to oppose that objection. Okay. All right. So that reduces the 16 THE COURT: 17 two points that I had added. So we'll get in the same place; 18 right? 19 MS. LEVIN: Yes, Judge, we are going to end up 20 being exactly the same place. It is going to be -- I will 21 tell you in one moment. 22 THE COURT: It's a 43, three? MS. LEVIN: Yes, Judge. 23 24 THE COURT: Or it's still a three? MS. LEVIN: So the revised criminal history points, 25

1 given your Honor's ruling, are six. And that puts the 2 defendant at a Criminal History Category III, which is what 3 the PSR calculated him at. And the guideline range is 49. I 4 mean, excuse me, the guideline Offense Level is 49, but it's 5 capped at 43. So, therefore, we do have exactly what we have 6 in the PSR, which is a total Offense Level of 43, a Criminal 7 History Category of III, which results in the same guideline 8 range, which is natural life in prison. 9 THE COURT: Did I miss something? 10 PROBATION OFFICER: Well, your Honor, everything is 11 the same except, instead of six points, it's five points, is 12 what my math is coming to. 13 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I'm having trouble hearing 14 you. 15 PROBATION OFFICER: The total criminal history 16 points is five and not six, so the prosecutor just said. But 17 the category is the same. So five points total, not six. 18 MS. LEVIN: Can you just give me one moment to --19 THE COURT: Yes. That's right, Judge. That's fine. 20 MS. LEVIN: 21 Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'll let the 23 government argue. 24 MS. LEVIN: Judge, we have two victims here that would like to address the Court. I know that your Honor 25

wants to take a break in 15 minutes. Would you like to hear from the victims before we --

THE COURT: Let's try to.

MS. LEVIN: Okay. So, Judge, if I may ask Jessica to come address the Court first.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SICORA: Good afternoon. I was approximately 21 years old when I first met Casino. I was going through a divorce and was addicted to heroin and crack. At that time, my addiction was stronger than my will to stop using drugs. I wanted to stop, but my mind would not allow me. Casino promised to keep me safe. He promised that he would not rape me or beat me, and he promised that he would provide me food, clothes, drugs and a safe place to stay. When you are an addict, all you care about is getting high. To be able to wake up with food, clothes, and the drugs sounded like someone actually cared about me. So Casino and I made a deal. I would try it out for three days. And at the end of three days I would be able to leave if I wanted.

The first two-and-a-half days, Casino kept his word. On the third day, things took a turn for the worse. I just returned from a four-hour date and was tired and Casino wanted me to go out again. When I told him I had enough and wanted to go home, he punched me in the face. He hit me so hard that it permanently scarred my left eye. My eye

1 immediately began to swell and bruise. I had never been hit 2 before and the pain was unbearable. He took me back to the 3 hotel and calmed me down by giving me more drugs. Still to 4 this day, the scar is visible for anyone to see. 5 constantly getting asked what happened to my eye --6 THE DEFENDANT: Objection, your Honor. They're 7 saying that I permanently bruised her face and speaking on 8 medical stuff that no medical evidence has supported any of 9 these allegations. 10 THE COURT: Well, at this stage, she gets -- a 11 victim gets to make a statement. That's under the law. And 12 you will have the opportunity to contradict it. 13 And if you're saying that it's visible, I suppose I 14 can come down and see it. I can't see that far. 15 MS. LEVIN: And if you recall, Judge, at trial --16 THE COURT: I do think that was the case, but that 17 was two years ago. MS. LEVIN: It's a permanent blood vessel that's 18 19 broken in her eye that she can certainly show. 20 THE COURT: Is it visible? 21 MS. SICORA. Yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 THE DEFENDANT: So is there medical documents to 24 show the date of this injury? 25 THE COURT: This isn't your time to talk right now.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SICORA: I am constantly getting asked what happened in my eye and my mind races back to that day. But the story I tell is not the truth, and I am constantly ashamed that I have to lie. Three days turned to three months of continual hell. The only thing that Casino kept true was his promise to provide drugs. But Casino's gift of drugs came at a high price. Casino was able to control my every move by providing or withholding drugs at a moment's notice. At the time, drugs were the only thing I cared about and Casino knew this about me. Casino gave me drugs to work and would deny me drugs if he thought I was bad or disrespectful. Casino would control me by taking me to hotels in areas that I had never been before. I felt completely isolated. Casino would take sex from me and rape me violently. I would cry and plead for him to stop, but he would just beat me for being too loud. This happened often. The fear of him beating me and raping me kept me paralyzed; and I was unable to leave. I had no where to go. And every time I thought of escaping, the fear of Casino killing me would overcome my will to leave. I often fantasized about escaping, but my fantasy always ended with Casino killing me.

I was a victim to much of Casino's abuse, but I also -- but I was also made to witness the abuse that he did to the other girls. I watched you violently beat and anally rape other women. I will never be able to get these images

1 or their cries for you to stop out of my head. Casino, you 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

are a monster and these girls did not deserve to be treated this way. I am a very forgiving person and never once did you say you were sorry for all the horrible things that you did to me. At the time, I'm sorry would have gone a long way; but now I know you're only sorry for getting caught. don't think you are capable of truly being sorry for the harm that you caused me or the other woman. I often wonder how you could ignore their screams of pain or their tears and pleads to stop. The only person you ever cared about was yourself.

Now, today is your day to plead for mercy. Although I am still haunted by your violence, I have done many things to improve my life. I am making an honest and good living, I am going to school, and I no longer do drugs; but socially I still struggle. I do not trust people. especially untrusting of males; and I fear their potential abuse.

I still have flashbacks of some of the unsettling events that took place while I was with you. Sometimes I wake up in a panic, fearing that I am trapped in a hotel. Ι often wonder when these nightmares will end. I am very happy to close this chapter of my life. And seeing you get sentenced will be a big part of my recovery. Thank you.

> THE COURT: Thank you.

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. LEVIN: Now, if we could have Veronica address the Court.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. DEL VALLE: Well, the day has finally come where justice is being served for me and a whole lot of other victims. I can bet my bottom dollar you think that you have done nothing wrong. You have damaged and affected people's lives you don't even know. Like my husband, for example. Thanks to you and all of the torture you put me through, I have night terrors when I sleep where I scream, I cry, I fight, I whimper, et cetera, et cetera. I have had such horrible episodes when I sleep, I've woken up to my own husband in tears. The man was in straight tears because of me crying and fighting and the disturbing things that I cry out because of you. The man still fights my demons for me still every single night. He doesn't complain, not one bit, he just loathes you for scarring me the way you did.

I ask myself why you felt the need to hurt me so badly. And that will probably be an answer I'll never know. What you did to me was inhumane and what you did to everybody else was inhumane. You are a very sick man and you're twisted and you are a monster. Seriously, you are getting off easily with prison. You really are. The only thing and the only hope for you is God, and lots of him. I will one day forgive you, but I haven't quite gotten there yet. I'll

ı	
1	tell you what, I'll pray for you. Someone needs to. Thank
2	you.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MS. LEVIN: Judge, may I have one moment?
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	MS. LEVIN: The government doesn't have any other
7	additional victims that are going to address the Court. My
8	presentation will likely take more than 15 minutes. Would
9	you like to break and have us come back and begin again when
10	the Court is
11	THE COURT: Okay. If you want to do that, we'll
12	come back at 1:15.
13	THE CLERK: All rise.
14	MS. LEVIN: Thank you, Judge.
15	THE CLERK: This court is in recess.
16	(Recess taken at 12:03 PM. Resumed at 1:22 PM.)
17	THE CLERK: 11 CR 918, USA versus Carson,
18	sentencing hearing continued.
19	MS. LEVIN: I apologize I was late, Judge. Jennie
20	Levin on behalf of the United States.
21	MR. SHAVER: William Shaver on behalf of McKenzie
22	Carson.
23	THE COURT: Good afternoon. All right, everybody,
24	let's go ahead.
25	MS. LEVIN: Yes, Judge. As your Honor has already

found, the guideline range here is natural life in prison. This type of case is not the type of case that is often or normally seen in federal court. Similarly, a guideline range of natural life in prison is not the typical guideline range that is seen in Federal court. One of the difficult things about this case, and just one, is the fact that the numbers are so high. The government's position after evaluating the factors in 3553(a) and the goals of sentencing is that a sentence of 55 years in prison is sufficient but not greater than necessary.

THE COURT: How does that differ from natural life? He'd have to be 90 something.

MS. LEVIN: That's an excellent question, Judge. 55 years in prison is essentially a life sentence for the defendant, who is 42 years old. And the government strongly believes that the defendant should remain in prison for 55 years. It's specifically asking for a sentence and it is putting a number on a sentence with the knowledge, full well, that asking and receiving that sentence will put the defendant in prison for the rest of his life. As for the recommendation of 55 years versus life, all I can tell your Honor is that there are a lot of people who weighed in on this decision from my office. And the office's position is that we are asking for 55 years. I can certainly tell the Court that the Court is well within its -- its rights to

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

impose whatever sentence it believes is appropriate, if that is natural life. I know that the victims in this case would like to see the defendant imprisoned for natural life. And, as probation recommended, excuse me, that is which is also consistent with probation's recommendation, which is a recommendation of --

THE COURT: I'm just wondering how your recommendation was any different.

MS. LEVIN: And practically speaking, Judge, I don't think that it is. It is just putting a number on it as opposed to asking for a natural life in prison. But the practical realities and the practical consequences of obtaining a 55-year sentence from this Court is that the defendant would spend the rest of his life in prison. And I can stand here before you and tell you that for the reasons I'm about to discuss with you, a sentence of 55 years in prison is -- is warranted here based on all of the factors, including the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant; the incredibly strong need for deterrence, specific and general. The need to protect the public. All of these reasons, as I'm going to lay out for you, support giving such a significant sentence. And, again, Judge, the government doesn't recommend 55 years or life, or what have you, lightly.

THE COURT: Don't spend any more time on that, on

25

the difference, because I don't see any difference, so.

MS. LEVIN: Right. And -- but my point is, whatever you want to call it, we don't ask you for the sentence lightly. We recognize the magnitude of it. We just feel that this is a case, if there was a case, that deserves it.

So turning to the nature and circumstances of the offense. Obviously, as your Honor is aware, you sat through the trial so you're very familiar with the facts and circumstances of the case. Unfortunately the trial was almost two years ago. So I'm just going to take a few moments and refresh the Court with the evidence that we heard.

The defendant, as you know, ran a sex trafficking business for approximately four years, 2008 to the end of 2011, and targeted vulnerable women, including the victims that testified at trial: Kaitlin, Veronica, Jessica, Nahrin. In addition to these four named victims, you also heard from Margaret. And she talked about being trafficked by the defendant.

What you didn't hear about is that, in addition to these five women that you heard from, there were approximately 30 other girls that were trafficked during that four-year time period; 30 other women that the defendant specifically targeted because of their vulnerabilities. The

vulnerabilities that we've talked about at length. The fact that these girls were addicted to heroin. The fact that they were out on the street with no support system. They were homeless. They were runaways. And what's so sick about the defendant's behavior is that he would actually go to rehab centers, drug rehab centers, homeless shelters to scout out and find his victims. He would lurk there waiting for them, preying on them.

After the defendant recruited his victims, you heard a lot of evidence about how he treated them. And quite frankly, Judge, he treated them like cattle, like they were his property. And he was -- there really are no words. I thought and thought about what I could say to you to describe adequately and do justice to what he did to these girls. And every time I thought of something, I thought that's not good enough. Luckily you were able to hear it from the girls themselves during their trial testimony.

But, you know, the defendant had a rigid set of rules that he enforced. The victims couldn't talk to other men. They couldn't talk back or otherwise disrespect him; if they did, they would face the consequences. They couldn't refuse to go on a date. They couldn't keep the money that they made. They couldn't hold money on their person. They couldn't keep their own cell phones. The cell phones that they did have were for work. They weren't allowed to use

them to make personal phone calls. They weren't allowed to keep their personal contacts in them. And the defendant routinely checked the phones to ensure that they were complying.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But most importantly, Judge, and what the defendant made perfectly clear to all of these girls is that they were not allowed to leave. They were never allowed to leave. And if you broke one of the defendant's rules, then there were consequences. And the consequences here were extreme. enforced his rules with psychological torture and manipulation and physical torture. He would beat these girls on a daily basis. He used cords, he used belts, he used his fist. We saw evidence of this at trial. Jessica todav showed you the broken blood vessel in her eye as a result of the defendant hitting her so hard because she was tired after going on so many prostitution dates and didn't want to go on another one. And he would rape these girls vaginally, anally. The night that Jessica was beaten so badly that her blood vessel broke, after that, when they went back to the hotel, the defendant raped her; forced her to perform oral sex on him and then vaginally raped her, as Veronica slept in the next bed. And as she was crying while she was performing oral sex and she was whimpering because she was being forced to do this, the defendant's response was: You should be I don't want to wake Veronica up. This is the type

of person who is before you. And it's so important for the Court to be able to consider the type of person that is before you when you impose a sentence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The defendant's beatings and rapes were not, though, just to enforce the rules. The defendant took a sick pleasure in hurting these girls. It was a power thing for him. So he did it to make them feel this big. To make them feel worthless. And he did it to make them feel helpless and like they could never leave. And it wasn't just through his physical beatings and the fact that he took sex from them whenever he wanted to. It was also through his verbal abuse. I mean, he told them on a daily basis that they were worthless, that they were nothing, that their lives didn't mean anything. Nobody cared about them. Nobody is coming looking for you. You know, as human beings, we can only endure so much. And to be beaten and raped and also hear that you're nothing repeatedly day after day, you can only take so much of that. And for a young woman, for a girl who is so impressionable and who has no one there looking out for them, they can take probably a lot less before they break. And the defendant broke these girls. And he set out to break them. And he did it with pleasure. And he did it with joy. And he did it without remorse. And I will touch back on this in a minute, but he sits here today without remorse. tortured these girls.

24

25

We've talked a lot about and we've heard a lot about the drug use. I just want to bring your Honor back to a piece of evidence that we introduced at trial. Your Honor saw that horrific video where Veronica was giving Jessica an injection of heroin and the defendant was filming it. And you could hear him in the background encouraging it, telling her what to do. He gave these girls heroin because he wanted them to be compliant. He wanted them to go on dates. wanted them to make money. And he withheld drugs from them to punish them, knowing that agony, I mean, sheer agony that these girls would have to go through during the withdraw. Jessica stood here today and told you how terrible the withdraw was and that she would do anything for drugs. It is a powerful, powerful addiction. The defendant knew that, and he made a choice to exploit it. And he -- he literally preyed on every vulnerability that these girls had. You know, sometimes we see things in life and they are so horrible that we have to turn away and we can't even think about it because we ask ourselves, how could someone do this. You know, beating a child, beating an elderly person. just unfathomable. And I have thought long and hard, how could somebody do this to these girls because, at their core, these girls were just like an elderly person or just like a young person in the fact that they were helpless and vulnerable. And they needed someone there to help them and

pick them up. And, instead, the defendant saw that and he beat them down. There is something different about a person who is able to do that.

During the testimony at trial, I'm going to go back to Jessica's testimony again. We also heard about a horrific, horrific rape. And we introduced a photograph of the defendant raping Jessica. And you could see her in the photograph. And she is screaming. And, if you recall, the testimony was that the defendant raped her while there was a baby in the room, another woman's baby. And the woman took a photograph of this rape with her cell phone. And Jessica testified that, as she was being raped and she was crying and in pain and humiliated, the defendant, again, told her to shut up because he didn't want her to wake the baby.

I bring up all these examples, Judge, because they are so telling of the defendant's attitude towards women, towards other human beings; and really express and shed light on the type of person that he is, which I am going to come back to and tie into the need to protect society and protect the public from him.

Veronica, Kaitlin and Nahrin all testified about the abuse they suffered and the beatings that they suffered and the rapes. Kaitlin was on the stand, and she told you she was only 17 years old. And she had moved out of her house for the first time and was in need of money and got

introduced to the defendant. And the first time he met her he brought her into a bathroom at a Motel 6 and raped her and then told her he would kill her if she told anybody; and then forced her to work for him. And, Veronica, she told you that there was one time that she tried to get away from the defendant. And the defendant was so determined not to let her go that he used the GPS in her phone to find her because he won't let them go. Another time, Veronica wanted to leave and the defendant put a knife to her throat, threatened her, threatened to kill her grandmother, threatened to kill her child.

So there are no limits for the defendant. He will go to any extreme. He will go to the darkest, ugliest place to control these girls. And nothing has stopped him, until he was arrested in this case. Because these girls couldn't get up the courage, understandably, to be able to walk away. And if they did, he came and found them and brought them back; or, in Jessica's case, as she testified, used drugs as a way to get her back. Jessica was in a bad place after her father passed away. Carson picked her up, and he got her heroin. It's constant. And it's a sick need to fulfill this dominance and this power over these helpless girls.

One of the other things that I think is so telling is the testimony about the climate of fear that the defendant created. So, for the defendant, it was complete and total

control and dominance. That was his goal. That was what he was trying to achieve here. And he did that not only by torturing each individual girl, but he did it by making each individual girl know that he was torturing the others. Look what will happen to you if you get out of line. Look what I'm doing to her. Jessica talked to you today about having to be there while Nahrin was being anally raped. And Jessica is a kind, wonderful girl and has such a big heart. And such a big heart that she felt so guilty about going and talking to Nahrin and bringing her into this -- although it was not Jessica's fault -- that she switched places with Nahrin. And the defendant started anally raping Jessica because Jessica was trying to do the right thing and help because she couldn't bear to watch Nahrin screaming and crying.

We also introduced at trial photographs of beaten victims. There was one photograph of a rear end that had been badly beaten. And the defendant showed that photograph to the victims and said: This is what's going to happen to you if you withhold information from me, if you hide money from me. Again, it was a fear tactic, a tactic of intimidation, one that worked for the defendant. While these seem like -- and I don't want to use the word minor; but while these pale in comparison to the types of abuse we're just talking about, I mention them, and I'm going to mention them, because, again, they just show the lengths the

defendant will go to to control his victims.

The defendant would only let them wear lingerie while they were in the hotel rooms. And he took their shoes so they couldn't leave. Again, he took their phones and checked their phones. Remember Jessica testified that there was one day that she wanted to leave so badly that she was willing to leave naked. Literally, she started walking towards the door naked. She would have rather gone outside and faced people with no clothes on, strangers who she had never met, which anybody would think would be just unbearable; and she was willing to do that over stay with the defendant. But, of course, again, just a game to him, makes her take off her clothes if she wants to leave. But when she actually starts to walk towards the door, he brings her back inside, and he beats her.

You know, looking at the defendant's conduct is only one part of considering the seriousness of the offense here. I think talking about the harm that he has done to these girls is the other part of it. And the psychological and the physical harm he has caused them is going to -- and I -- it saddens me to have to stand here and say this to you, but it's going to last a lifetime for them.

And today you got to talk -- excuse me, hear from Jessica and Veronica. And they both told you that they're afraid. They can't sleep. They have night terrors. They

want the images out of their head. They won't go away. can't stop seeing what he did to them and what he did to the other girls. They can't stop hearing the screams. Jessica testified she can't stop hearing the screams of other girls They're not as trusting. It's affecting their being raped. interpersonal relationships, especially with men. physical scars, those are easy to see. But the emotional ones, those are even more damaging than the physical ones because those can change you. And the defendant did everything he could to change these girls to make them feel absolutely worthless. You know, they are going to have to spend forever trying to repair and rebuild who they are. And I know that they are putting a lot of hard work into it. And I know that they are going to continue to put a lot of hard work into it. But they're going to have to suffer for the rest of their lives with the consequences of the defendant's actions. And a sentence that the defendant receives from this Court should similarly force the defendant to spend his life also suffering from the consequences of his actions. And while that will not take away the life sentence that he gave to these girls, what it will do is show them that, contrary to what the defendant told them day after day, their lives do mean something. They are worth something. And the defendant is not going to be able to get away with what he did to them; and that he is going to be punished to the full

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

extent of the law. And he is not going to regain his freedom to be able to do this to anybody else. It's going to show them that they matter and that justice has been done here.

So unless the Court has any questions about the nature and circumstances, I'm going to move onto the history and characteristics.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LEVIN: There are a couple of characteristics about the defendant that I want to highlight because I think that they are important about evaluating his risk of recidivism and the need to protect the public and the need for deterrence here. First of all, the defendant, his behavior has demonstrated that he has a real belief that women are to be controlled and that they are worthless. It's an ingrained belief, and he has acted consistently with that belief, not only in the fact that he literally sold these victims like they were merchandise and made money off of them. You know, he took sex from them whenever he wanted to, like they didn't have a say, like they weren't even human beings. When we searched the defendant's computer and we introduced these photos at trial, there were two particularly disturbing photographs. We attached them to our sentencing memo. One was of a woman who had a piece of tape over her mouth and it said: Tape. Shutting bitches up since 1926. And the second one was of a girl who was badly beaten. And

you could see the bruise on her eye. And it said: This bitch didn't know when to shut up to you. Again, telling of the defendant's attitude. And that attitude makes him a threat to our girls and our young women and our society.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The defendant's behavior has also demonstrated a lack of respect for the law. He has repeatedly lied to law enforcement about his sex trafficking activities. And you heard testimony from the victims as well, that he instructed them to lie. If they were arrested or if they were stopped, they were not supposed to say they had a pimp. They were not supposed to tell them what was going on, that they were being abused, they were being beaten. The defendant's criminal history shows that he has 14 previous convictions and 21 previous arrests. And as we pointed out in our sentencing memorandum, this Court has seen far worse criminal histories, including much more serious offenses. That being said, Judge, taken as a whole and viewing all of these facts together, his constant refusal to obey the law demonstrates his lack of respect and his attitude towards the fact that there are laws, there are rules that he has to obey and he has to follow. And, further, it's the government's position that the criminal history really doesn't reflect his violent, criminal behavior. Because, again, it doesn't document the fact that he was trafficking all these girls for four years. And it also doesn't document the fact that he is a rapist.

23

24

25

The defendant's behavior has also shown that he is smart and he is manipulative. The defendant talks a lot about his sixth grade education. And that may be. But his behavior both out on the street as part of this case and in this courtroom has shown that he is anything but stupid. has a keen understanding for the legal proceedings that are going on. He understands his rights. He has articulated them to the Court. His words have shown that he is skilled at playing a part. He knows when to act like he doesn't understand what's going on, and then he can turn it off and he can show the Court that he is fully aware of everything and can, you know, cite case law and talk about -- today he knew, oh, I served 31 days, but, yes, I was sentenced to He knows this stuff inside and out. And he understands it. And he -- in his method of recruitment, we can also see how manipulative and smart and savvy he was because, each girl, he would recruit them differently.

So, Kaitlin, she talked about how he promised her, you could be a model and the fame and the money. And that's how he lured her in. And then it turned to violence, of course, and went down the same path. And with Jessica, Veronica and Nahrin, it was controlling them with the drugs and the physical abuse and the beatings. The defendant is a chameleon. And he knows how to get what he wants. And he knows his audience. And he knows how to temper his behavior

and his personality to that audience. And that makes him very dangerous.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think one of the most telling things about the defendant is the fact that he has no remorse for what he has done. And I touched on this earlier. The defendant has an excuse for everything. Nothing is his fault, ever his fault. His post-arrest interview, we attached this to our sentencing There were numerous examples that we cited in the sentencing memorandum from that post-arrest interview where the defendant was confronted with prostituting these girls, taking their money. His point of view: I was helping They had trouble holding onto their money, so I was helping them. I'm just addicted to heroin-using girls. Poor And, even today, while the defendant was talking to your Honor, he continuously expressed that, you know, he hasn't done anything wrong. He is the victim. He said: one who is suffering every day. It's like the world is I don't want to have to live in fear every day against me. that I might get a life sentence. It's always about him. And his refusal to accept responsibility for what he has done wrong makes him very dangerous if he gets out because he either doesn't -- he really doesn't see that what he has done is horrific and in violation of the law, and that makes him a danger; or he just refuses to accept it. And that makes him a danger, a danger of not stopping.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Which brings me to the deterrence. Specific deterrence is really important here, Judge, as is general deterrence. Specific deterrence and the need to protect the public, we've talked about how the law is not a barrier to this defendant. He was convicted in 2008 of pandering, continued to engage in sex trafficking. Again, in 2011, convicted of pandering, continued to engage in sex Numerous, numerous interactions with law trafficking. enforcement. None of that stopped him. Human intervention has not been a barrier. Kaitlin's mother filed a missing person report, tried to get law enforcement involved. That didn't stop him from trafficking Kaitlin. Veronica runs away, tries to hide her phone when she realizes that he is using the GPS to track her; and he still doesn't give up.

And what's particularly frightening here, Judge, is that the defendant's role as a sex trafficker does not require heavy lifting. It requires a skill set. And he has it, in spades. It requires being able to be smart and manipulative. So if he gets out when he is 70, 75, he can go right back into this life. This is something that he, by his own admission, has admitted he is addicted to. He is addicted to these girls. He is addicted to, you know, spending time with them and helping them, in his own words. His age is not going to slow him down.

As far as general deterrence, Judge, as I'm sure

1 this Court is aware, there is a culture of sex trafficking 2 out there. And it is -- you know, this -- these cases don't 3 come around that often. And when they do, they do receive 4 public attention. And I'm sure as the Court was aware when 5 this case was going to trial and when there was a verdict on 6 this case, it received public attention. And this Court's 7 sentence is going to receive public attention. And a message 8 needs to be sent to people who do this type of activity that 9 it will not be tolerated; that, if you do this in the Northern District of Illinois, you are going to prison for 10 11 And a message also needs to be sent because these decades. 12 cases are so hard to prosecute, Judge. And one of the 13 reasons they're so hard to prosecute is because it is very 14 hard to find victims who have the -- who are willing and have 15 the courage and the strength to be able to come forward and 16 testify against the person who is trafficking them. 17 because the trafficker tells them not to and has so much 18 control over them; and also because I think the victims feel 19 like people don't view them as victims. You know, looking at 20 them, people think, oh, they used drugs, they were out there 21 prostituting themselves. But that is not the picture at all. 22 And I think your Honor has been able to see firsthand by 23 presiding over this trial that that is not the picture. And 24 when they're met with that type of reception or, you know, 25 response, it's very hard to come forward when people don't

1 | s 2 | B 3 | s 4 | a 5 | i 6 | d

support you and don't view you as the victim that you are. But a sentence of 55 years in prison here will make a loud statement that these are victims and they can come forward; and they can trust in the legal process; and they can trust in the system; and they will get the justice that they deserve.

And finally, Judge, I will touch briefly on sentencing disparity. We talked about this in our sentencing memo. The Court is obviously free to impose whatever sentence it feels is appropriate after it starts by looking at the guideline range, which is life here. The penalties for these cases, 15 year mandatory minimum, life sentence for advisory guidelines, are very severe, but they reflect Congress' intent and the sentencing commission's intent to punish these crimes harshly. And we cited numerous cases in our sentencing memorandum in this district where other defendants similarly situated who have gone to trial and have used violence and rape and drugs and verbal and psychological abuse have received the type of sentence that we are asking for here. It is not out of line with previous cases.

So based on all of these factors, Judge, the government respectfully requests that a sentence of 55 years in prison will serve the goals of sentencing, punish the defendant for his behavior and send a message that this crime is taken seriously and it won't be tolerated. Thank you.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Shaver?

MR. SHAVER: 55 years in prison. 55 years. And the government says they want him to suffer for those 55 years. That's what the government is asking for. Your Honor says that's a life sentence, and it basically is. If he serves 85 percent of the sentence, that's eight years off. It will be 47 years. Four years already served is down to 43 years. That's 86-years-old when he walks out of prison. Is that a just sentence in this case? Is it sufficient but no greater than necessary to serve the interest of justice? I think that it's much greater than necessary.

We've heard from the government about the heinous nature of the crimes committed by Mr. Carson. The government alluded to 30 women who he has prostituted over the years. We're dealing with five women here, the four women charged and with the one other woman, as relevant conduct. That is in no way to suggest that crime isn't serious. They've labeled Mr. Carson a predator, prone to violence, a chameleon, a cold and calculating liar who has psychologically and physical hurt his victims in this case. They say he should never get out of prison, it's a sure thing he will begin sex trafficking again. We've got to protect the community. Send a message. I used to be a prosecutor in this building. I sent a message all the time.

Let's talk about the case and what happened in this

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

case. One, and I'll explain this later on, that, you know, McKenzie Carson is a troubled soul. He suffers from mental illness. And to say that he took pleasure, joy, in administering pain, I think that's beyond the realm. committed serious crimes and he should pay for those crimes. But sentencing him to 50 years in prison is just too much. Counsel alluded to sentencing disparities, similarly situated defendants. And one of the cases they cited themselves. United States vs. Sawyer, which Judge Kocoras handled, he gave Dequan Sawyer a 50-year sentence. But that was a different case entirely. That 50-year sentence was for a much longer period. He was charged with trafficking over ten minor victims. One of them was only ten years old. That is a much different case than this case. Not to denigrate the seriousness of this case, but a much different case. Judge Kocoras gave 50 years in that case.

We cited the Misher case, which Judge Leinenweber had. Only two victims, and he pled guilty. But he was facing life in jail by the guidelines, the advisory guidelines. And Judge Leinenweber gave him ten years. There is a disparity that exists, even in this district. It shouldn't, but it does.

So what are we going to do about that? How long should his sentence actually be? What is different about this case, different than what was happening in the Sawyer

case? Well, we look to the defendant and the personal characteristics of the defendant, which nobody has talked to to this point. The things that differentiate him from the average defendant in this type of case. The things we must consider in sentencing him.

What's different about this case? Well, I alluded to it. Mental illness. McKenzie Carson's life has been indelibly marked by mental illness, Bipolar I disorder. He has suffered from this debilitating disease continually for his entire life and it has left him psychologically in an almost constant state of confusion, despair and impaired judgment, leaving him feeling hopeless and frequently contemplating suicide.

Before I discuss the mental illness he is suffering from, suffered from then, suffered from now, we should look at his life. He has had a horrible life. His home life, broken from the start. Carson's father was a chronic alcoholic. McKenzie remembered a memory he had with his father was sharing a beer with him when he was only 12 years old. When he left, he was replaced by a stepfather and that stepfather mercilessly beat McKenzie and his siblings with a two-by-four. During the course of those beatings, his mother became involved; and she became physically abusive as well. He didn't have a proper education. He had learning difficulties. He had some learning disabilities since

1 | H 2 | G 3 | W 4 | G 5 | H 6 | H

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kindergarten. Serious speech impediments, writing difficulties. His teachers knew about it, but, you know, he was never formally diagnosed and never received care. He didn't last long in jail or in prison. Got in a fight in high school. And when they kicked him out, he never went back.

Over the years, he has worked numerous jobs, but he never could hold a job. He was constantly depressed, constantly using drugs, liquor. Would get fired or walk away from the job. He did everything he could to make ends meet. And McKenzie was a heavy substance abuser, basically from the time he was 12 years old. First liquor. Then he used marijuana from the early teen years through his adult life. Then smoking cocaine, snorting cocaine, snorting heroin, sometimes using the substances almost daily. He sought substance abuse programs, many of them: Branden House, Haymarket House, South Suburban, Weiss Hospital, Linden Oaks Hospital, trying to get a handle on his problem. But nothing. Nothing stuck. He had a couple brief periods of sobriety, but he was feeling this constant anger, confusion, depression, and he slipped back into the use of drugs again.

The personal background of McKenzie Carson was harrowing enough. We've heard it many times in this courthouse, but it was harrowing enough. But add to this his bipolar disease. We now know that McKenzie Carson suffers

23

24

25

from Bipolar I disorder, which he has suffered from most likely since his adolescence. He was always depressed, the records show. During his pre-teen years, he began having thoughts of suicide. And at 14, he tried to kill himself, swallowing a bunch of pills. In the following years, there were constant thoughts of suicide and multiple suicide attempts, often followed by short periods of hospitalization. But the hospitalization wasn't continuous. He didn't follow-up on his treatment and he would fall into line again of depression, growing disgruntlement, confusion. And the cycle continued. Even during this period he was using cocaine, heroin on a daily basis. And that only exacerbated the way he was feeling. Over the years, when the depression overwhelmed, he sought help for that. He sought mental health at Evanston Hospital, at Reed Mental Health, at Elgin, at Good Sam, at Linden Oaks Hospital. And we have the Linden Oaks hospital records. In fact, he was first diagnosed as bipolar at Linden Oaks in 2008. He got -- he got treatment during that period. The records show he was feeling better. But when he left outpatient, he didn't follow up on that.

Dr. Stephen Dinwiddie examined McKenzie. He said, definitely, he has got Bipolar I disorder. And he recommended a treatment: Stabilizing agent, like lithium carbonate, combined with an anti-psychotic agent. To this day, he is not receiving that medication. The MCC thinks

1 that he just has depression. So they gave him 2 antidepressants, which exacerbate his condition. 3 Bipolar I disorder is a serious mental condition. Less than 4 one percent of the population has it. We hear about it all the time on the news, on television shows. But only a small 5 6 percent, one percent of the people, have it. But of those 7 people, they are 15 more times more likely to commit suicide 8 than the general population. And estimates by experts 9 suggest that a quarter of all suicides are bipolar related. The disease generally arises in a patient's early teens and 10 11 20s and is characterized by manic episodes and then followed 12 by major deep depressive periods. When the bipolar episode 13 hits, the patient becomes extremely aggravated, more given to mood swings, more impulsive, maybe more violent. 14 15 exhibit poor judgment and lack of insight and their behavior 16 becomes antisocial. Their mood disturbances are sufficiently 17 severe to cause a marked impairment in social and general 18 occupational functioning. And, finally, from a suffering 19 standpoint, there is a circularity in the condition. You 20 know, once a manic episode starts, it's going to happen 21 again. And to end the manic episode, they go into deep 22 They're in depression, and then the manic depression. 23 episode hits. And it's a cycle. And it's over and over 24 again. A high, aggravated, confused, followed by deep 25 depression and thoughts of suicide. It must be a horrible

situation.

McKenzie Carson wasn't just sad or depressed over the years; wasn't just sad or depressed over the fact that he is facing now, in this criminal case, a long period of incarceration. He suffers from a serious and debilitating mental illness. And the manic episodes probably occurred when he was 13 years old, that first one, when he tried to commit suicide, followed by deep depression. And the cycle continued ever since. He was certainly suffering from Bipolar I disorder at the time he committed the offenses in this case. He certainly had periods of high manic state. He certainly had periods of deep, deep depression.

The Bipolar I disorder, the severe mental illness, was a contributing fact for the offense of conviction. I'm not saying it caused it. Even Dr. Dinwiddie didn't say it caused it. But did it contribute to what McKenzie -- the facts indicate what McKenzie did in this case? I don't think there is any question of it. And his diminished mental capacity due to that ongoing illness warrants a significant departure under the guidelines. The case law says that a severe mental illness is recognized as a ground for departing from the advisory guideline range, so long as that mental illness is a contributing factor of the offenses charged.

McKenzie Carson is not the mainstream of sex trafficking offenders. And, again, I'm not going to suggest

1 and lessen in any way the severity of what he is -- what he 2 has done in this case. The government says that he is a liar, that he lied. He lied during his post-arrest 3 4 I think if we read that post-arrest statement, he statement. 5 admitted to what was done in this case during that long, 6 rambling interview. It was used during his trial. He didn't admit a lot of things. He didn't admit violence. 7 But he 8 admitted that he was prostituting those girls and had them out there trafficking for him. So is he a -- a cold, 9 10 calculating liar, a manipulator? You could say that, if you 11 didn't know more; if you didn't know that he was bipolar. 12 doubt that he can be so calculating, as the government 13 suggests, knowing that he suffers from this illness. We've 14 seen him on performance in this case, in this courtroom. That is a smidgen of what it is. He doesn't seem to control 15 himself. He goes off on tangents. I don't think he is the 16 17 cold, calculating criminal that the government is suggesting. 18 He suffers from a relatively rare mental illness that leaves 19 him in a constant state of confusion and deep depression; and 20 is likely suffering regularly occurring manic episodes that 21 are severely impairing his judgment and functioning to this 22 day.

Due to his mental illness, even given the facts of this case, it would simply be unfair to punish him with basically a life prison term for criminal activity that was

23

24

25

caused in part by his mental illness. I think a fair and just sentence in this case is a mandatory minimum. A serious, serious sentence, 15 years in jail. McKenzie Carson is 43 years old. He'll just be under 60 when he gets out. For recidivism sake, even Dr. Dinwiddie's report says, as he gets older, he is less apt to recidivate. He will be old when he gets out of prison in 15 years.

I'd ask the judge to sentence him to 15 years. I would also ask you to suggest to the Bureau of Prisons that he is in need of psychological help. That the Bureau of Prisons is not right now providing him with the psychological help he needs. Certainly the medication is wrong, and he is acting out because of that. I'd like to see McKenzie Carson on an even keel. I would like to see the McKenzie Carson who is receiving the proper medication to see what he can do. He suggested to you, Judge, that he can be a valued member of the community. Maybe that's the case. It hasn't been the case in the past. But then we now know he suffered from this mental disease. Please sentence McKenzie Carson to 15 years in prison.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Carson, it's your opportunity to speak.

MR. SHAVER: Judge, his aunt would like to say a few words.

THE COURT: All right.

1

2

3 4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SHAVER: One moment, Judge. Judge, I would ask Emma Chin to come up now. She is McKenzie Carson's aunt and she would like to say a few words. I have attached her letter on behalf of McKenzie to the sentencing memorandum in this case.

Thank you, your Honor, for giving me a MS. CHIN: minute of your time. My name is Emma Chin. I'm McKenzie Carson's deceased mother's sister. And you heard a lot of negative things about him, but I want to tell you, I'm not here to say if he is right or wrong. I just want to let you know the McKenzie Carson that I know. And I'm trying to undo some unjust that was done to my nephew the whole time he was growing up. I tried to intervene when my sister, his father, his stepfather, but nobody would hear me. The courts, the school, when I went to them and pleaded for him, nobody would hear me. And I witnessed him being abused, suffered. I couldn't even begin to tell you the suffering he went through with his family. And in school he was picked on. He was beat up every day. He was in special ed.

And the McKenzie Carson that I know, I'm not saying what's in the street, that I know, I have a mentally retarded brother. He took care of my brother. He bathed him. He did everything he could do to help the family. He even took care of his abusive father when he couldn't move anymore. He even took care of my sister who wasn't there to defend him as a

little boy as he was being beaten. And I had my own family.

And maybe I could have did more. I tried to do as much as I

can as an aunt, but they wouldn't let me. I was bound by the

court because now they take child abuse a little serious than

back in the day, in the '80s, when he was growing up. And

they take bullying at school a little serious now than they

did when McKenzie was growing up.

But McKenzie is a good person. He has always been there for the family. And, you know, he got addicted to drugs and the streets caught him up. And, you know, but there is nothing I can say but good things about my nephew. And I ask your Honor, can you please take that under consideration when you sentence him because he has been through a lot. And I'm trying to undo what happened to him as a child. So if you would take that under consideration, I would really appreciate it.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MS. CHIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Carson?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, there is a lot of things I want to say. And I want to say first, I bounce sometimes. It's something I can't control. If you notice throughout, I bounce on one thing, then to here. I don't know how to put things, stay in order. If you can please try to bear with me as I try to say some things.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, I want to address the last things that was addressed and try to stick there first to some things I'd like to say, like Ms. Levin and here today and throughout the trial, the word that's been pounding in my head that she said. If you can allow the pictures in, it goes towards my -- she basically said some word that was saying it goes towards the way I feel or think towards women. That -- that -- what's the -- um, I can't think of his name right now, Carrie's partner. Can you help me, please?

MR. SHAVER: Was it the FBI agent?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, him. Let's see. The FBI agent testified that those were pictures that were downloaded to my phone. And you allowed it in as it goes towards my thought of mind. That's what you said. And the pictures were the pictures she spoke of today that -- with a woman duct taped and a woman with black eye and a black -- bruises over her body, or whatever. And those pictures if -- if -if I had knowledge of those pictures before trial, that they were even part of discovery, or anything, I would have been able to explain what I would like to do today about those pictures. Those aren't pictures that I downloaded all -- he was able to testify they were downloaded to my phone. Yes, they were downloaded to my phone, not the computer, as it was changed -- as the story was changed today. But they were downloaded because the first thing you do when you get a

smartphone, you've got to decide do you want sites to visit to your phone or -- or to your phone card or to the little small memory that comes with the phone. And the normal average person choose the bigger memory place, which is your memory card holder, whatever. So you got people -- you go through Facebook pages and people put all types of -- some people put stupid images, stuff that doesn't express any of your opinions or views, or so forth. These images, if a computer expert was on the stand, that the person that actually went through the phone would have been able to testify, those was cookie images. Those are what those images is. It's not -- it's not my state of mind towards women.

You know, yes, I've made mistakes and I've never denied making mistakes. And I am truly, despite on what Ms. Levin might feel, I'm truly sorry for all mistakes I've made in life, even mistakes that ties into this case, you know, and -- and not saying that because I'm here and I'm arrested, and so forth. My actions show when I learned to -- when I came to the knowledge of Kaitlin's true age and when I found out her true age, it messed me up so bad that it scared me clean. And I have not put a drug in my body since June 16th -- June 14th, excuse me, 2010. That's -- you know, maybe it took several months for me to get clean, but it -- do you understand what I'm saying? You do something that,

you know, but what I'm saying is, you know, that right there shows, you know, a person trying to turn their life around. I wasn't arrested, you know, turning my life around. You know, when I got arrested, I was taking care of my elderly father that couldn't feed himself, couldn't cook for himself and dealing with trying to deal with my mental illness at the same time on the phone with the crisis line crying and dealing with my stuff. And they -- I couldn't -- it's hard for me to -- it was hard for me to take care of my dad. So they had to give me the -- they gave me a number where people came in and started giving me a break because, you know, I already got my own problems. And they had to see if -- give me a relief a couple hours a week because I had a breakdown over the 1-800 crisis line phone number, whatever, but. And I'm running to the hospital to see my mom that was fighting for her life at the time, you know.

It's a lot that -- I never say I'm stupid. Yeah, I'm smart. I got a learning disability. I'm not good with remembering words, and stuff, but, for some reason, I've been good with numbers all my life. And that's how I was able to be a cab driver because I'm good with numbers. I'm not good with -- you know, it's documented throughout school history of my disability. You know, I -- I can read a book and couldn't even explain the book to you. But, yeah, four years locked up, yeah, I'm starting to -- three -- three of those

four years I was quiet in the courtroom. I had nothing to say because I didn't even understand what was going on, you know, except for asking for the discovery, you know. I mean, today, or last week, probably, the most conversation, but.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know, what I'm trying to say is, no, nobody in this world deserve a lot of things that they've been through. Veronica, Jessica, Nahrin, Kaitlin and many other people in this world. Nobody deserves it. Nobody deserves to feel less than. And I never intended to do anything to make them feel less than, you know, or, you know, sometimes -- sometimes in life you look back and your actions didn't level out with your intent. You know, like, when -when I was a kid growing up watching my dad beat my mom all the time when she was in so much fear that she went to my aunt's house and got my uncle's gun and would hide it behind the chest for maybe if she get fed up with it one day. Or watching my other uncle beat his wife, you know. And I grew up saying, I never want to be that person. I was a mama's boy. I loved my mom. I loved -- you know? I cried when she cried. You know, I hurted when she hurted, and that's it. Ι made a promise to myself I would never put my hands on a woman. And I remember the first time I did something against my word, my belief, and everything, how it affected me and it affected my life many times. And, at one point, I went to South Suburban domestic violence class voluntarily. Ιt

wasn't nothing to do with no court system. Parenting class. It was parenting and domestic tied in together. I just had -- told my sponsor it ain't helping me -- you know, it had a bonus thing, too, even help me to try to parent better because I was a single father raising my son by myself after me and my wife separated due to her drug addiction. trying to continue to raise a son that I didn't -- I wasn't even the biological father. But Derek is always going to be my son, you know. And I was trying to raise him. And Derek is ADHD and I got my problems, too.

And, you know, what I'm trying to say is, you know, no matter what the government may say or anyone else, you know, the calling me a monster and predator, I know what type of person I am. I'm a good individual that made some bad mistakes in my life. And some of the mistakes I made were very bad. But I know that I'm a good individual and they can't define who I am, you know. And no matter what happens today, I can continue to strive to show people that I am a good individual. That I made bad mistakes, but to let my further actions show that I'm a good individual.

I got clean -- my first time getting clean was in '95. '95 to 2006. When you look at my criminal history, from '95 to 2006, you don't see no arrests. I was clean. I was on my medication. I was going to my group meetings, the Bradberry (phonetic) service. And I was going to my drug

meetings. And then my wife started using drugs. And I had a mental breakdown and isolated in the house for two years. And then when I came out of that isolation, I relapsed because the thing that was helping me and keeping me on track, I isolated myself away from it. My medication was keeping me on track. The meetings, the groups, all that helped. All that together change the type of person I was, changed the type of person that I was. That our spare bedroom was open to the newcomer that didn't have a place to lay for the night that people would -- at the groups are, like, are you crazy, you don't know what he might have in his I said: That's what Dr. Bob did. They opened a door to a stranger, you know. And I had no problem opening the door to strangers for a couple nights, let them get a couple days clean and try to find them a -- the house, the halfway house, and go to because people helped me like that. When I got out of treatment, I went to halfway house and to -- they at the halfway house taught me how to live better.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know that I could be a productive member of society through the right resources. I know it because I have achieved it. I'm not pointing a finger saying this person, that person, just because I say that I had some things happen that I'm not -- no, I'm not pointing the blame finger. I'm not here to point the blame finger. Yeah, I'm saying, I'm just here saying, under certain circumstances, I

know I can do better.

I had a mental health probation officer. If he could have wrote a letter like he wanted to, but he said he is not allowed to without a court order. And it would have, you know, because he -- he worked for Cook County. But he was my probation officer. He know what type -- nobody knows me as far as public people like him, you know. Or the shelter. I used to go volunteer at First Baptist Church shelter on holidays and feed -- and feed the homeless on holidays at Thanksgiving. When I got clean, that's how I spent my holidays. You know, a lot of times I wouldn't spend it with my family. I told them, I said, I got to serve them because, when I was out there homeless, somebody served me. And the program teaches you to give back that was so freely given to you, you know.

So I know what to do under -- I learn what to do under the right circumstances, and stuff. And if I didn't have my mental breakdown, you know, I -- I had to put my wife out. They took my son because my wife was on drugs. And they told me if I wanted my son to come back to the house, I had to put a restraining order on her. You know how hard it is for a non-biological father to get a restraining order on a biological mother? That right there speaks for itself what type of person I am and can be. If the courts granted a non-biological father a restraining order on a biological

mother and allowed a non-biological father to -- to provide and take care of a child that he did not give birth to or, you know, I'm not -- or you know what I mean, a father biologically. But I always said Derek was always my son before I even met him. I felt like God made me to be his father, you know? He was -- he was my everything, you know? He was my little man. I would go work on the car. He is right there with me changing the oil, you know. You know, um, I watched him from his different stages. Like he was only in second grade when I met him. And, you know, that was the curious grades stage where he asks you a million questions, you know. And the different stages, you know, and what separated us is the time it took for me to get Derek back at home. They put Derek in a group home until I get the restraining order. And -- and DCFS had to -- they had to do it by the papers, or DCFS had to take custody of Derek and then give me guardianship. So that took time. So I had to go to Irving Park every weekend to pick him up for the weekend visits. And I was without him throughout the week. But then he was in an environment where it changed, you know, his behavior. You know, you put a child that has ADHD around kids that come from all different background and -- and he was in homes where these kids talked to the adults all different type of ways and try to fight him. So after the time it took, he come back home, try to fight me. And I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tried and tried. I couldn't -- I didn't know what to do. I made what I feel was the worse mistake of my life. I told DCFS I couldn't take care of him. And that, too. Ιt spiraled from, first, I had to get the restraining order on Now I lost my son. Then my mom came and said I got mv wife. to come get the dog and take the dog to the shelter because I didn't have the energy to get up out of bed and walk the dog. I didn't have the energy to eat. I was too depressed. So my mom had to remove my dog and give it to Naperville shelter. And all these things I'm losing just kept on contributing more to my depression, you know. And all this on top of no meetings, no -- no, no group meetings, only meetings in -- no counseling meetings. I stopped taking my medication, stopped showering. You know, waking up every day staring at an orange electricity cord, just listening to Alicia Keys torn between the two because I was torn whether to live or die. Ι wanted to take that cord and wrap it around my neck and wrap it around a balcony at the same time and jump. And maybe that would have been better. Maybe, you know, we wouldn't be here if that would have happened then, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't try to hurt people. I don't want to hurt nobody. I love people. I love the people I love best. I'm a counselor. And a counselor, we like to help people, not hurt people. I didn't intentionally of my own -- you know, the government may disagree. I'm not here to argue any

agreement, but I'm just saying Veronica, you know, she was somebody I was in love with, you know. That was my girlfriend. We were a couple. We'd go spend time with her kids, go to the ice cream thing, take them out for ice cream. You know, I would tell her, come on, get some games for them. We'd get some games for them ordered, you know. Veronica's mom was here to testify, she would say that, you know, I encouraged Veronica to spend time with her kids. Before Veronica met me, she hadn't spent time with her kids in three months, you know, or longer. You know, she started spending time with her kids on a regular because I encouraged that, you know. Because I grew up looking out the window waiting for my dad to come with broken promise, over broken promises, you know. But, no, he ain't coming, he coming. And the hours would turn to days or week because he was someplace stuck in a bar somewhere or a gambling house somewhere. You know, and it's a lot of abuse that I grew up to that I didn't even get into, but. And I'm not going to get into because it has been touched on. But, I mean, I've been through abuse, been beat with two-by-fours, living in the country, and the green part of the corn stalk. Wet it and whip it and see what that do, you know? And I tried killing myself swallowing a bottle of pills. They put me in a guardianship of the state. And what the state do? They put me right back in the house of abuse. But on paperwork

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we're going to say you living with your older brother. All that DCFS case worker cared about probably the money he was getting because he so didn't care about my well-being.

So after being in a mental hospital for some time where I stayed at Evanston Hospital until the insurance ran up where I was transferred to a state hospital, Reed Henry Warner for kids mental hospital where I was abused, where I I was raped by own male staff, shot up with was restrained. Thorazine and restrained. The story is because you got caught smoking a cigarette because the people that came on visits would sneak us cigarettes, give us cigarettes. But John liked to have his way with you when you was drugged and cloudy off these psych meds that you didn't even need, like Thorazine and Haldol. So when I get out -- how do I get out, first of all? A kid that lived in so much fear that pulled the fire alarm and climbed over a wire fence and ran down Irving Park Road with his bloody hands and feet to get away. And I make it from Chicago back to Evanston Hospital. And the person that I trusted, Nurse Kathy, I trusted her so much, I told her. She calls security behind my back and they take me right back to Henry Horner. Then three days, maybe a week later, Henry Horner released me. Now all of a sudden nothing wrong with me when I'm trying to put the truth out what's going on in there to me.

But my time at being at Henry Horner, after

20

21

22

23

24

25

would give you so he could have his way, you start enjoying the effect of it. I grew up vowing that I would never, ever, use drugs. But 13, 14, after getting used to fighting the drugs that they shot me with and ended up drinking where I developed an ulcer, I went to St. Francis Hospital. About 16, I had a peptid ulcer, a baby ulcer. A 16-year old that drunk so much that they had a baby ulcer. My uncle said, don't worry, you don't need to drink, just smoke weed. I started smoking weed with him and his wife that led to other drugs. And I enjoyed the effect of those drugs. That led -weed is nothing but the gateway to all drugs and all. So when I finally led to heroin, I found a similar effect of the same effect that I felt from the hallucid -- the Haldol and the Thorazine type of drugs that I got drugs. Haldol, you were only supposed to get if you were harmful to yourself or other. I got it for smoking a cigarette. That was the paperwork statement. But the truth of the matter, John wanted his way again. It was always about John.

It's a lot, you know, that I wish I can -- if I can go back and change the hands of times, you know, I think that it's a song that says that. And I relate sometimes my feelings with music. And it goes with -- I just wish I could change it. I wish I could change everything. But the only way you can change things is by doing better in the future.

And I know I could do better. I know I can.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm asking you to have mercy on me, as God has mercy on all of us. I'm begging for my life. I'm begging for my life. I ain't never -- I -- I never -- this is -- I never been faced with -- -- I never been faced with such a serious crime in my life, you know. Most of my crimes, if you look at my history, were self-inflicted crimes, as we call it in the program. Self-inflicted because I'm chasing after one more, one more high so I don't got to feel or think of the pain and the things that went through me as a child, you know. So let me get one more bag, one more bottle, one more line, one more whatever because, for some reason, I thought the drugs would fix me. They didn't fix me, they just hallucinated me. I was accustomed to it from those drugs that I first learned took away everything. You know, the Thorazine. So chasing after that escape, the great escape that never happens. Because when the high gone, you're right back, messed up in the head, wishing it would go away; but it never goes away. So why would I intentionally inflict the same pain on anyone that I deal with every day of my life.

You know, I talked about probation officer, you know, left out some things and -- and that's some of the things even I don't remember seeing in the probation thing, even him speaking about the times that I prostituted myself

for one more escape, you know. You know, sometimes that, you know, even my ex-girlfriend, you know, prostituted ourself with this teacher that wanted me to dress up like a woman, you know, and dress him up and put lipstick on us and all that crazy stuff that makes me feel like I'm going to throw up. But if it was the key to making the pain escape out of my mind, I did it, you know. I did a lot of things that I didn't want to do, voluntary and involuntary. And the Narcotics Anonymous books say, drugs change you into a person you don't want to be. And I surely was the little boy playing with fire hydrants, saying I want to grow up to be a drug addict. I wasn't thinking I wanted to grow up to be a drug addict, I wanted to grow up to be mostly unbalanced. Most of mv life I've been homeless, homeless because I preferred to be homeless. Started out being placed back into the indirect custody of my mom, you know. So that's when the running away started, you know. And -- and then I left home at an early age and I came back at a wrong age, you know, after a few setbacks and relapsing. Because, throughout my addiction, you know, it was a lot of bridges burnt with conflicts between me and my mom, you know. And my mom passed away since I been here. I don't know how much you're aware. That fact is I love my mom. She had a very, very bad marriage that put her through a lot of things. And she always tried to do the best that she can do, but she was a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mother at a young age that -- very, very young age that made bad decisions, you know. And we all make -- we all capable of making bad decisions at times. The question is, do we learn from them. And, you know, our relationship wasn't mended because, throughout my addiction, you know every time I got high, you know, the hurt and anger, every bag or bottle I put in to me, the anger just -- just increased because it fueled, it fueled the fire, so to speak, of things I went through growing up. But it took a while for me. So, throughout my addiction, I said some things to my mom that I -- I didn't mean at times, you know. My mom gave me the gift of life. Through God, my mom gave me the gift of life. I can never take that away from her. She was a great mother. She worked three jobs, you know, trying to take care of four kids. Despite whatever mistakes she made, she was a great mother because she was the greatest mother she knew how to be. And she tried to do the best she could do for all her kids. But I had completely stopped talking to my mom one time in life. And at one point I lived with my aunt right there, 811 Callan. That was years ago. And I can always remember addresses, numbers, for some reason. 802 Dobson, 311 Custard, 302 Callan. It just -- numbers stick with me. But a lot of stuff, I can go on all day with numbers. go on with numbers to customers that -- that I get an order to go take to the airport here or there forever, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I'm not good with -- but before I get too bouncy, what I'm trying to say -- let me try to re-focus.

What I'm trying to say is those bridges were mended through medication, program, sponsor. You know, all the group therapy meetings. But then, you know, sponsor had to teach me that I had to let go. Let go and let God, you know. That was in the past because I -- I couldn't blame my mom. I can't go through the rest of my life blaming my mom, my dad or anyone else for things they done at me. I got to look at the part I played in it, you know. And, you know, I got to -- I learned to pray for people that I were mad at because that's where you find forgiveness and peace and through prayer. Prayer and understanding.

You know, before I got arrested, I was searching. I was searching, I was lost and searching for a better relationship with God. I just felt so lost and confused. You know, and it's funny how things turn out sometimes because I used to pray, God, if I get arrested, God, let me find you. Let me find you. Although, God been right there all the time. God is everywhere. We just don't grasp what he is giving us at times. But it took me to be incarcerated and out of my mind, like the whole world is going down. And I feel like I'm gasping for breath, can't breathe. I'm breathing, but the air is not coming. Where is it? I get a phone call. They tell me to come to the attendant office and

it's my aunt on the phone saying my mom is gone, you know. And this was very, very -- a month after I was arrested, you know, I took my mom to the hospital, May 6th. I never forget the date, May 6, 2011. Two days before Mother's Day. Took her for some simple pain. And nobody couldn't tell me in a million years my mom would never walk out of that hospital alive. And I wasn't able to be there, comfort her or tell her I love her one last time. And when you wake up and realize you don't -- you can't even pick up the phone, everyday life. My mom died at a very young age, 60 years old. I could never hear her voice anymore. I could never say, mom, I love you. I miss you. I'm sorry. I can't hear her say: McKenzie, it's going to be okay. You got your whole life ahead of you. You'll be okay.

My dad, I grew up watching my dad beat my brother with a horse whip. One day I told my brother about it, and he was shocked. He was shocked because he thought I was too young to even remember. I cried with my brother. My brother had whips on his body the size of one of those big patch of band aids. One day, when I got clean, I called my brother, I said: I'm sorry how dad treated you different. To this day, my brother still called my dad, dad; and that wasn't his biological father. His father died when he was a baby. And it's things like that that motivated me to give Derek all the love I can and treat Derek the best I could treat him as a

father, a kid and a human being.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My dad was abusive. He was -- belittle me. The favorite word he had for me is: Boy, you ass backwards. You can't do nothing right. I remember going -- it was our weekend to spend with my dad, and he finally came. We going to the theater. And somebody bumped him. And then somebody else got to shaking his pants, they dropped their contacts, the whole time they picking his pocket. And I'm trying to tell him: Daddy, daddy. Leave me alone. I'm trying to help them get the -- get their contact. Dad? Leave me. So I left him alone. They picking his pockets. When he got done looking for something that wasn't there, I said: Daddy, they just took your wallet. Boy, you ass backwards. I tried to, you know. I wasn't McKenzie or Kenzie to my dad. 95 percent of the time I was, "Boy." I can't ever remember my dad telling me he loved me. Never, ever. That's why I always, even when I get done, you know, telling Derek you can come out of the corner, I give him a hug and embrace him. I love you, son.

But at times my dad did show me he loved me. He got me on one arm and my sister on another, he would be swinging us at the park. It meaned so much if I could ever hear the word, and I never in my life could remember ever hear the word. But I learned to accept that maybe he grew up in a different time. Maybe, you know, you weren't supposed

to tell a man or a boy you loved him, maybe, you know. Just like you weren't supposed to cry. If my dad see me cry, he will push me around and smack me and tell me to stop crying. A man ain't supposed to cry. If somebody chased me home because I was in special ed and beat my ass and I come running home, excuse me, he told me to get out there and fight or he going to beat me. Not the best of alternative. Lose-lose situation.

In school, I feared on a daily basis where I started stealing out of people's lockers from the older guys, stealing out of their lockers. So I used the money I'm stealing to buy everybody ice cream so I wouldn't be getting beat up. The principal called and said, your son is stealing money to buy stuff for everybody. He didn't understand why. And I never told him. It's because I was tired of getting beat around for being special ed.

I had teachers that didn't even have basis to try to teach us. It wasn't just me, we were in special ed. But all they cared about is whether -- whether they going to -- they got to get us graduated. So it's some test to graduate by 8th grade. I failed it two times. They just gave me the answers. And you call that teaching? I was told that by a teacher that I can never get better in reading, that my reading would never change. Today, I know that to be a lie, just like the Devil is. In four years, I learned how to read

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 interest in teaching me that. They even lost interest in 19 even trying to help me with reading where they will just put 20 me in a booth and get me a head phone with tapes that read to

24

25

Child Left Behind came out. And I cried. I was so happy to hear that because my son was 7th grade. And I said, with that, Derek can get a proper education because it always

I never forget, I think it was around 2000, when No

interest in opening a book to try to read because the teacher told me life early on that I would never be able to read right. I might read slower than other people. It might take me a week to read a 400 page book, but I can read it. I've been learning words I didn't -- never knew I could learn. Friends sent me a Webster and Thesaurus. But I used to keep going on and just skip a word when I didn't try to pronounce Then I'm learning how the P-h really say F. I didn't I'm 42 years old. It took me 43 years to really know that. know how to use a pencil. I cried when I learned how to use a pencil. I got a callus right here because I held a pencil right here because I couldn't get how to hold it. The teacher said, just lay it right here. Big old callus I had because I spent my life not holding the pencil right here because the teacher didn't have the patience to teach me. They had those cursive cards to learn how to -- teachers lost

I ain't never learned how to read. I never had an

played on my self-esteem, and stuff, of what I can say or what I understand, you know, that some people could talk at a higher level, you know. I was told that if a person is teachable, they are reachable. That's a slogan, one of many, we got in the program. And I tried to keep an open mind where I can be teachable and I -- and in four years I've been learning how to read.

I know -- I know I can move forward. And, you know, if given the opportunity, have a career and contribute to not just the community, but my country. I -- '95, I was charged with possession of drugs, delivery of controlled substance and possession of drugs, I believe it is. Another self-inflicted crime to support my habit. I was -- the crime was -- the crime was -- the drugs were self-inflicting, you know, to inflict more pain on myself, that's -- by using drugs, I was in possession or seller of the drugs, or both, I don't remember exactly. But, with that probation, I got TASC probation. And that's when TASC probation first started. It was a strict two-and-a-half year TASC probation. Not once did I drop dirty with going to my meetings, seeing my probation officer, you know.

I know I'm changeable because God is the one that changes people. As long as you have God in your life and -- and you following steps, you know, and with the support. You know, my aunt and my cousin, they're not the only support I

I got loving family that live in the south. not able to be here. I got -- I got family in Arkansas and in Georgia, Little Rock, Arkansas; Pratville, Alabama, Convers, Georgia. I got a sister in Naperville that is going through some things herself right now; and she is unable to be here, you know. But they love me. My family love me, and I love them. And I got support. And as long as you got support, you can -- as long as you got your support, like we call it in the program, networking support group, support team, you know. But the truth of the matter, the long -longer someone was locked up, the less support they're going Just in four years I lost three people: My father, my mother and a cousin. Two of them at a very young age. The cousin, to Stage 4 cancer that he made headlines because the cancer never existed. A new type of cancer. to the -- my mother dealt with a long, long illness of kidney disease that brought on many other problems, starting with the problems that changed her to the person she wasn't. Μy mother went to see a doctor because she was overweight. And instead of the doctor running medical tests and doing what he was supposed to do, he told her, oh, you need to back away from the table, that's all that's wrong with you. Here, take these water pills. Drink water, eat less. The water pills was -- I'm sorry, not water pills, but some type of steroid. Take these, drink water, eat less. The steroids was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

something that made her change to a very angry person. mother never abused me before she got on that medication. And not to mention the other decisions she made because she was on that medication, like meeting somebody in a pen pal newspaper one week and two months later moving us about 400, 500 miles from Chicago to Vandalia, or actually a small town called Brownstown. It was so small it wasn't even on a map Moving us there overnight in a couple month period and marrying a total stranger, where I dealt with more I swear I got beat with two-by-fours and wet corn stalks. And, you know, if you just happened to be walking while Bob was mad, he had the big, long country boots to give you a nice kick right in your anal, you know. So it was better to just try to stay out of his way because he don't got to be mad at you to catch one of those kicks. He could be mad because his check didn't come, nobody bought enough wood; or the tree he chopped down fell in the wrong direction. Or maybe you were expected to have those trees he left cut up. You got to chop them in one -- one, and you got four pieces, and you got to have them stacked up. didn't have enough stacked up for him to keep the firewood burning because that's what we stayed warm on.

We moved a nice -- a nice, beautiful house from 1932 Gray in Evanston to a shack in the middle of a cornfield where we had to a burn wood stove all around the clock in the

1 morning time not to freeze. And that was only due to the 2 doctor putting my mom on that medication that damaged the 3 heart, messing with her mind, think she really had an 4 illness, a kidney disease, that needed to be dealt with. And 5 after years, then they -- when they finally found out she had 6 a kidney disease, she went through numerous transplants. 7 That failed six months later. Two transplants she went 8 Two heart surgeries. Brain aneurysm, you know. 9 Water on her brain. And when you got -- have surgery and you 10 have to have emergency surgery and you're on blood thinners, 11 you're on blood thinners so -- so you can have dialysis, they 12 don't have that much time to try to get your blood thick. 13 They got to plug an IV and give you the plasma, the stuff 14 that's in the bananas and starch that she normally don't --15 she can't eat because it thins her blood. But they got to 16 give it to her through blood now to thicken her blood. And 17 after the surgery, because of the stuff she was missing, you 18 know, you don't even recognize the person. She came out like 19 a human balloon. After surgery, she was like 300 was blown 20 into her, 300 pounds of air, you know. But that's all the 21 water that build in her, I think, I don't know. But it's a 22 scary thing to go through, you know, being told that your mom 23 is not even going to make it. But she was the miracle 24 patient, you know. She made it a couple times that they said 25 she wouldn't. And the times you least suspected, she didn't

make it.

1

Bottom line is, it's not -- it's not about being --2 3 it's not about being sorry I got caught, as Ms. Levin wants 4 I am truly and sincere sorry for pain, hurt, No. 5 misguidance, suffering, anything I've done to anyone, you 6 know. And the only thing, there is only a few things I can 7 do, you know. And that's, one, never, never do the things 8 I've done to anyone else, you know. Get, as Mr. Shaver been 9 telling me, you know, and it's get on the proper medication, 10 as he been telling you I need as well, you know, and if --11 if -- allow the opportunity to get back in my therapeutic 12 groups. And the number one rule in Narcotics Anonymous, 13 never use again, you know. And the book of Narcotics 14 Anonymous talks about, which is very true, some people don't 15 understand, they misunderstood it, but recovery -- I mean, 16 I'm sorry, relapse is not part of the program. No, relapse 17 is not part of the program. It never will be part of the 18 program. You know, like some people might say, have you had 19 a relapse yet? No, relapse ain't a part of the program. 20 Everybody don't have relapse, but it does happen. Relapse 21 does happen, you know. Relapse in anything happen. 22 know, even after a surgery, somebody might relapse and report 23 back because they went not following the procedures of you 24 weren't supposed to lift more than 30 pounds for -- you know. 25 You know, regular meetings is the procedure of Narcotics

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anonymous through my depression and other -- the mental health issues and -- and family issues in isolating, I relapsed, you know. As the book says, you know, sometimes we can back ourself into a corner that we might not come out clean. And that was the corner I backed myself into when I stopped doing everything that was helping me. Ten years, nine months. Ten years, nine months. November. November '95 to June 2006. When I relapsed and after the fact of relapse, it felt like I woke up in a nightmare. was like another person did that drug, not me. How did I do that? But I stopped doing everything that helped, that's But if I could do that, ten years and nine months from the age of 23 when I got clean, when I was in the program, it wasn't too many people my age when I was 23 that was staying I stayed clean for ten years and nine months. did it at 23, I know when I finally, finally get clean again at 38, I can -- I could continue and continue and do it much longer.

My biggest stumbling block getting back to the program and getting medicated was the shame of I threw all that, as they call it, good time away. I pissed it down the drain, you know. You know, and sometimes shame stop us from doing things that we need to do. As the book of Narcotics Anonymous say, there is nothing shameful about a relapse. The shameful part is staying out there in the relapse, you

know. I stayed out and the shame got worse and worse because, with the shame, came more and more bad decisions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Right now, today, I stand here clean since 2011. I'm sorry, 2010. And some people may argue, oh, he is in jail, he don't got a choice. That's a lie. People over there getting high every day, every day. Whether it's weed, heroin, coke, or the stuff that don't show up in the system, they call it tunechi. I don't want no part of it. They played a part at ruining my life. I don't want no part of nothing that played a part in why I'm here today. No part of drugs. No part of prostitution. No part of soliciting. part of drinking because I got to be sure about -- be sure and never forget one thing. For me, alcohol is a drug. One is wet, the other one is dry. Bottom line, they both get me If I pick up a bottle, sooner or later, I'm going to end up maybe with a needle in my arm. People over there cooking up their own wine. I don't want no part of it. I want a part in changing, a part in putting back to the community, you know, helping rebuild the community. either going to do negative or positive. I learned, if you don't get involved in something positive, you going to do something negative. Like, when I got clean, when I got out of treatment and went to the halfway house. I didn't know what to do. They said, well, do some service work. I just read something that you got to be six months clean to

do service work. He said, the guy that ended up becoming my 1 2 sponsor, he said, no, you got to be six months clean to chair 3 the table, run a meeting. No, no, the meeting is -- running 4 a meeting is a year clean. But the other stuff like, 5 secretary, GSR, and stuff, six months, you know, he said. 6 But all you need is one day and the willingness to go around 7 and push the chairs in. You know, this is before they passed 8 the new bylaws to make the meeting rooms non-smoking or empty 9 the ashtrays or, you know. And then about after -- I want to 10 say when he gave me my three-month -- no, when he gave me my 11 nine-month medallion, he told me -- you know, I was trying to 12 get a service position and I didn't get voted in. He said --13 he said, don't be discourage. Really, to tell you the truth, 14 some of the most humbling service, you don't have to get 15 voted in, you know. Pick up a newcomer, take him to a 16 meeting, you know. Give the new person that's walking in the 17 door a hug. The one that, you know, that looks dirty and 18 grimy person that you want to walk away from. Give them a 19 hug. You know, make somebody feel like they belong. Give 20 back what is so freely given to you. And those are the 21 things that help me stay clean. And that guy became my 22 sponsor and helped me stay clean; had me sign up with Grand 23 Prairie Service, help me, you know, to get -- get in the 24 right meetings because I had came from three -- three-month 25 program to the Branden house. I'm seeing TASC probation and

1 my regular probation officer. And my regular probation 2 officer, he said, okay, you got to get in, you know. 3 part of my mental health probation, I have to get in. And if 4 you talk to Dave Bishop today, he'll tell you that I'm one --5 I was one of his success stories. Before I got locked up, I 6 called Dave Bishop. You know, when I relapsed, when I 7 relapsed, I called Dave Bishop and broke down and cried, left 8 a message, told him I messed up. And before I got locked up. 9 about a month or so before I got locked up, I called Dave 10 Bishop and told him, you know, I wanted to let you know that 11 I'm clean. At that time I had I think about 18 months clean, 12 I said, I'm clean. I know I left a message on vou know. 13 your recording one day and I was messed up. And I said --14 then it turned out he had never got the message. So I might 15 have deleted it because it gives you the option to send or 16 delete. But it was good to let him know I was clean. And I 17 reached out to him, you know. And, you know, and I know 18 how -- when I'm on the right track and I'm medicated, I know 19 how to reach out, you know. You know, I have my network. 20 have 800 numbers.

You know, Ms. Levin is talking about life in prison, you know, and to protect society, and I -- and I understand it's important to protect society. But I truly, honest to God, do not believe that society need to be protected from me. You know, I understand I made some real

21

22

23

24

25

1 bad mistakes in -- in life. However, the thing about -- I don't even think she is even qualified to determine what type 2 3 of person I can be moving forward. But I think my past 4 speaks for itself of what type of person I can be, you know. 5 From 10 years and nine months that I was clean and on 6 medication, what do you see in my background? Nothing. 7 THE COURT: There were numerous arrests for assault 8 during that time. 9 THE DEFENDANT: I forgot about that. 10 arrested for -- it was assault. Someone claiming they was 11 battered. It was a guy from one of the meetings, and he 12 claimed I battered him. 13 THE COURT: I think one of them was your stepson. 14 Pardon? No. I never been arrested THE DEFENDANT: 15 for assault on my stepson. 16 THE COURT: Well, you weren't convicted. 17 THE DEFENDANT: And that was something that was claimed throughout bond and throughout trial. I never 18 19 been -- Oh, I'm sorry, I did have that, too. But, and that 20 was thrown out, too. That was in --21 THE COURT: I agree, there were no convictions. 22 I think --Okay. 23 But for the gist of it, what I'm THE DEFENDANT: 24 saying is, if you look at my background, you see, when I used drugs, I had patterns. Patterns maybe that lasted every 25

three months. I'm arrested for something, whatever it is. Domestic. A domestic, disorderly conduct, you know, possession, you know. From 2000 -- or from '95 to 2006, you don't see that pattern. Something changed. So when you -when you ask yourself, the pattern was broken, what changed, I'm letting you know what changed in my life. I had help. Ι had help. I was properly medicated, you know. Maybe -maybe not even properly, but I was -- I had a doctor that didn't really want to mess with my psych meds because I had thyroid issues. I been going back and forth to the hospital for thyroid issues since 2000. Since 2000 and -- and they don't -- when you going through thyroid issues, they don't want to mess with your psych meds because your thyroid glands control every single thing in your body, you know, from mental health issues to even nerve issues. And it's -- you know, it gets real deep how much the thyroid control, you know. And it took years to get this thyroid dealt with. One minute I'm on medication, one minute the doctor calling me, don't take no more, you're too high, you're too low. I'm being sent from one specialist to another, you know. And then there was times where I just stopped taking the meds and, you know, I -- I was using. You know, I was battling back and forth with the demons that -- of the drugs, you know. From 2006 to '10 was a real battle back and forth, you know. I think I went -- went to three -- I went to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 hospital three, four times from 2006 to '10, and two of them 2 being a drug rehab. And -- and then I left Linden Oaks at 3 one time and was sent to a nursing home for mental illness 4 because I wasn't discharged stable. I wasn't stable. They 5 just -- my insurance ran up and they sent me to a nursing 6 home, Sunset. It was called Sunset on Sheridan, on the north 7 side of Chicago. And I was eventually discharged from the 8 nursing home at a hospital. When they took me to the 9 hospital from Sunset, I had a bipolar episode, and they sent 10 me to the hospital and they refused to take me back at --11 Summerset, not Sunset. It was called Summerset. 12 refused to take me back at Summerset because of my bipolar 13 episode. You know, I had put a couple cigarettes out on 14 myself where, in order for me to go have a cigarette, I had 15 to be on one on one. You know, I had to have counselor with 16 me anytime I wanted a cigarette, you know, because I kept 17 putting out cigarette out on myself. And then -- then a 18 couple bipolar episodes where they had to restrain me and 19 medicate me, you know. And -- and they discharged me from 20 that hospital. They had taken me to the hospital. They sent 21 me back, you know, and I got discharged from there, didn't 22 know where to go. North side of Chicago, you know. It only 23 take a second to get further south or west, you know. And 24 I'm over somebody's house getting high, you know. You know, many times feeling rejected, I don't want it, you know. 25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I felt all my life I felt rejected by my dad, waiting at the window, rejected by my mom when it seemed that she chose a pen pal stranger over her kids. Rejected by the hospital when they say they can't help me. When I got expelled out of school and my mom took me to therapist. A rejection that the therapist said there is no help for him. When you go to see a medical doctor and they lie and say there is no help, you know how belittling that is?

But these are things I just added to my self-medicating list. I'll get high and forget about it. But the truth of the matter, high don't get -- getting high don't take no pain away. It gives you an illusion that it's gone for a minute. As soon as the high goes away, the pain comes tumbling down ten times worse. And I've learned over the years the only thing that ease the pain, because pain is never going to go away, that eases the pain is to confront the pain. Deal with it, you know. So working the steps in the Narcotics Anonymous meeting, you got your anger category, you got your rejection category, you got your -- you got your abuse category, you got your resentment. I resent this, I resent that. And sometimes you look up. And when you keep on riding over the years, you look up and you -- then you resent things that, like, where did this come from? Even the perfect stranger. But when you get deep down from under the surface stuff, you resent the perfect stranger because he

That it

1 reminded you of Bob that did this to you or dad that did this 2 to your mother; or the list go on. But then, when you get to 3 the nitty-gritty, you got to get to the forgiveness category, 4 including forgiving yourself, you know. And sometimes, I'm 5 not going to say sometimes, a lot of times, it seems easier 6 to forgive somebody else than yourself, you know. I'm mad at 7 myself the most. But you learn forgiveness. forgiveness start with self. You got to truly forgive 8 9 yourself before you can forgive others. Then you can get closure and move forward, you know. And these are the tools 10 11 that I have instilled in me that, with the chance, I can -- I 12 regain these tools. I can use them. I can use them. And I 13 can help someone else use them where they don't have to go 14 down some path. I can stop somebody else from falling in the 15 pothole I fell in. There is another me sitting in the 16 meeting right now that needs somebody to reach out to him and 17 tell me he is not that bad person, he made bad decisions, and 18 we're going to love you until you learn how to love yourself; 19 and then you can give it back to somebody else and we're 20 going to do this together. Everything you've been through or 21 going through, I've been through it. That is okay. 22 might get worse before it gets better, but it's going to get 23 better, you know. There is somebody else that needs tools 24 that's instilled in me that I can put in to them that they

don't end up in front of you or no other judge under any

25

other circumstances.

One thing I've learned in this four years, besides learning that the jails are so overcrowded, it's overcrowded with people with mental illness. This guy, Angel Ramos, rest his soul, guy just died a couple days, right there, in the cell across from me. Mental illness. And people with mental illness end up in the SHU or Seg and neglected and left behind. You know, a lot of times, you know, oh, he just got mental illness. That's why he is not getting up. Don't worry. He don't want the breakfast? He don't want the lunch? He don't want the dinner? Until the right CO that's caring and loving come by. Oh, no, why he is not getting up.

THE COURT: All right. I don't think we need to talk anymore about him.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I need you to finish a point or -- it has been a long time.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry. I'm just trying to express my feelings and views. But, again, I stand before you and I'm begging for mercy. And I think Count 1 doesn't limit how much probation you can give me. If you give me a chance, I can be the miracle defendant that stood in front of you and turned things around and helped to contribute to society. I stand before you today begging for mercy.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's turn to

3553. Starting with an Offense Level of 43 because I guess is the highest level it can go, and a Criminal History Category of III. The guideline provision is life imprisonment, but it is not a requirement.

Well, we start with looking at the nature and circumstances of the offense. And it's hard to really talk about or really to describe, I mean, as the government was saying, that the seriousness of the offense in terms of the viciousness of it and the harm that it did. I mean, this was several years of trafficking. This was involving the four victims that testified before me, as well as the -- I mean, the five before involving counts and the additional one in terms of relevant conduct. They were kidnaped in the sense that they were not allowed to leave. They were tortured. They were coerced. They were forced to engage in prostitution. They were humiliated. They were repeatedly raped.

The other part of 3553(a)(1) is the history and characteristics of the defendant. In some ways, certainly this criminal history category does not overstate his offenses. I have listened, I've read your very good memorandum, listened to your argument, Mr. Shaver; and I have listened as well, I have for a very long time now, to Mr. Carson. And, of course, I have watched him both in trial and in court over a period of several years and many times.

23

24

25

You are smart and manipulative and incredibly self-centered. I just listened to you for an hour and a half, or however long it was, and I never heard any remorse, any understanding, any -- -- anything whatsoever that said, I know I really hurt these people, and I am sorry for that. I was victimized by my mother, I was victimized by my father, I was victimized by every medical person who has had any contact with me. victimized by teachers; everyone, apparently, who has come into your life. And I also heard you say that, okay, you acted better during the years when you weren't drugging. Ιf so, you had an option. You had the option not to go back to I do notice that, when you say you quit your drugs again in early 2010, that the conduct in this case went on after that. And, oh, your wife's fault. I left that one out. I do see that there is a history of mental health I think we've been very careful in this case to make sure -- to try and separate and make sure what was going on. Sometimes it was, I think you decided not, just as you decided to stop taking your medication back at times when you were out, you stopped taking your medication when you were incarcerated. Undoubtedly -- there is nobody -- I don't

think anybody grows up to be an abuser in the way that you were an abuser without probably being abused at some level himself; or I suppose it could be herself. But that doesn't excuse what you did. And it doesn't excuse you from at some point taking responsibility, real responsibility, and saying: Gee, I really, really hurt these people. But I will take it into consideration in a sentence.

The sentence is supposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the law and provide just punishment, as well as adequate deterrence; and to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant. I usually -- in most cases, I'm actually not that worried about further crimes. I am in this case. I see no sense whatsoever that whatever has fueled this is not still there, will not be there. I also see somebody who can talk well, who may not have had a lot of education. Although, it does say you went to high school part of the time. But is quite smart and quite capable of discerning a whole bunch through lots and lots of facts. And I can see at talking vulnerable people into going with them.

So taking all of that into consideration, as well as the need for just punishment, the need to really punish just really severe crimes and the other factors, but also hoping that if any help is given in prison that there might be a chance some day that you could be released and not cause harm, I'm committing you to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 47 months -- 47 years on Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4, to be served concurrently. 47 years. There will be a

fine. There is a special assessment of \$400 payable forthwith.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Upon your release from prison you will be on supervised release for a term of five years on Counts 1, 2, 3, and 4, to be served concurrently. Within 72 hours of your release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, you shall report in person to the probation office in the district to which you are released. On supervised release, you shall not commit another Federal, state or local crime. You shall comply with the standard conditions adopted by the Court. You shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. You shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from prison and random drug tests thereafter, not to exceed 104 tests per year. You shall cooperate with the collection of the DNA sample at the direction of the probation officer. You shall participate in a mental health treatment program at the direction of the probation officer. You shall comply with all recommended treatment. That may include psychological and physiological testing. You shall maintain use of all prescribed medication. You shall registered with the state sex offender registration agency in any state where you reside, employed, are employed, carry on a vocation or are a student. You shall not have contact with any person under the age of 18 except in the presence of a responsible adult who is aware of the nature of your

1 background and current offense and who has been approved by the probation officer and treatment provider. 2 3 I think that's all. I don't actually have one of 4 those little up-to-date -- this was done so long ago. I think that ought to cover -- you have one? I don't think one 5 6 was done in this case. 7 MS. LEVIN: Oh, then I don't. 8 THE COURT: Did you do one? 9 PROBATION OFFICER: No, your Honor, I did not. I 10 have --11 THE COURT: Well, I think I've covered the ones 12 that would seem to me to be important, considering his age, 13 by the time he will be released. 14 PROBATION OFFICER: Very well, your Honor. 15 we typically recommend, one of them statutory, it's No. 8, 16 under the special conditions, I think. Refrain from 17 possessing a firearm or destructive device. 18 THE COURT: Yes, we should do that. 19 PROBATION OFFICER: Then there is a cluster of them 20 routinely asked for every case. Remain in this jurisdiction 21 where he is released and being supervised. 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 PROBATION OFFICER: Don't leave without permission 24 of the Court or probation officer. Report to the probation officer or Court as directed. Permit the probation officer 25

1 to visit the defendant at his home, work or any other 2 reasonable location; and permit the confiscation of any 3 contraband observed. Notify the probation officer promptly 4 of any change in residence. Notify the probation officer 5 promptly within 72 hours if arrested or questioned by law 6 enforcement. So those are the standard. 7 THE COURT: Do you have any objection to any of 8 those? 9 MR. SHAVER: I do not, Judge. 10 They all sound appropriate. Okay. THE COURT: We 11 will include -- we will include those. 12 You have 14 days to file a notice of appeal. 13 there any place you want me to recommend where he serves his 14 sentence? 15 MR. SHAVER: The medical facility in Minnesota I 16 think would be the best place for him. We haven't talked 17 about it, and I don't know if he wishes a place that's closer 18 to home, but he needs psychological counseling. He needs 19 medical help -- a mental health treatment. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me, your Honor. There is no 21 medical center down south where the majority of my family is. 22 My sisters will have to relocate down there as well. 23 brother is in --24 THE COURT: I'll tell you what, it will take her a little while to get this prepared. You people -- well, I 25

1	don't know, do you want to talk about it a little bit? Do
2	you want the list?
3	MR. SHAVER: There is a medical facility in
4	St. Louis, Missouri, but that is as close as it is farther
5	south, but
6	THE COURT: Do you want me to just recommend that
7	he be sent to an appropriate facility in Georgia? Is that
8	what you're saying?
9	THE DEFENDANT: In Georgia, Arkansas, in that area.
10	If you got a medical center, if you got one.
11	THE COURT: There is one in I mean, I'm not
12	you know, they will have to decide whether
13	MR. SHAVER: Yes.
14	THE COURT: what kind of treatment is
15	appropriate. But I will recommend that he go to an
16	appropriate whatever is the appropriate facility in
17	Georgia, Arkansas or Missouri. Is that what you said? You
18	didn't
19	THE DEFENDANT: No. Alabama, Arkansas.
20	Prattville, Alabama; Conyers, Georgia; and in Arkansas;
21	right? Yeah, Little Rock. Arkansas.
22	THE COURT: Where is your sister moving?
23	THE DEFENDANT: My sister, she is moving that way,
24	too, where my brother is, towards my brother. She don't know
25	exactly where, so.

1 THE COURT: Where does he live? 2 THE DEFENDANT: He lives in Georgia. He lives in 3 Conyers, Georgia. 4 THE COURT: All right. We'll say Georgia, 5 Arkansas, Alabama. Anything else? 6 MS. LEVIN: Just one issue from the government, 7 The government is seeking restitution for the victims Judge. 8 that were charged in the indictment in this case. It's based 9 on their trial testimony. I have a chart that I can provide to your courtroom deputy. It's based upon the number of days 10 11 that they worked and an average amount that they made each 12 day. 13 MR. SHAVER: I would object, Judge. 14 THE COURT: I'm not going to do that. 15 MS. LEVIN: Under the statute, Judge, they're 16 entitled to -- I mean, restitution is mandatory. 17 THE COURT: But it's restitution of funds. Thev 18 actually were engaged in illegal conduct; right? 19 MS. LEVIN: Yes. And the courts have held that 20 it's appropriate to order restitution in cases like these 21 where they were entitled to the funds and the funds went to 22 the person who was trafficking. 23 Have you given them this chart? I THE COURT: 24 haven't seen this. 25 MS. LEVIN: I haven't -- we just put it together.

1 It was -- I wrote about restitution in the government 2 sentencing memo, though, Judge, and said that I would be 3 providing a copy at sentencing. 4 MR. SHAVER: Judge, I have seen some restitution 5 orders that are tied directly to treatment, counseling, 6 medical treatment, but there are bills. And there should be 7 an accountability of what was spent and what supposedly is 8 owed. But I think it's too speculative and is inappropriate 9 in this case. 10 THE COURT: Well, right now you're asking me to 11 look at something I haven't even -- do something based on 12 something I haven't even seen. 13 MS. LEVIN: Yes. Judge, as I said, it's based on the trial testimony. And if your Honor would, like while 14 15 your courtroom deputy is putting together the judgment of commitment order, I can certainly --16 17 THE COURT: Well, she is probably not going to get 18 that done today. 19 MS. LEVIN: So I can certainly submit something 20 more in writing and submit the attached chart and your Honor 21 can rule. 22 THE COURT: Do you have the chart now? 23 MS. LEVIN: And, Judge, I'm passing up one more 24 that actually is more detailed that I just received. 25 THE COURT: You know --

1 MR. SHAVER: I note that Judge Leinenweber did not 2 order restitution in the case. 3 THE COURT: You've also had a lot of time to have 4 done this so that we could deal with it today. I don't want 5 to deal with this after today. I'm not going to do it then. 6 I have no idea what this is based on. Ordinarily, if we had 7 a restitution issue, we would have dealt with it before the 8 day of sentencing, not at the very -- not at 4:00 o'clock on this afternoon, after an entire day, or almost an entire day. 9 10 And I have no idea what these numbers mean. 11 MS. LEVIN: Judge --12 THE COURT: Have you given this to Mr. Shaver 13 before then? 14 MS. LEVIN: It was just prepared today, Judge, and 15 it has been -- but it's information that has been available 16 because it's in the trial transcripts that I received from 17 I mean, none of this is new. It's based on the Mr. Shaver. 18 time period that they testified that the defendant trafficked 19 them. And it's based on the average amount of money that was 20 also in the record that they would make each day. So, I 21 mean, all of this information is available. And of course --MR. SHAVER: We're paying them for the trial 22 23 testimony? 24 MS. LEVIN: I'm sorry. 25 MR. SHAVER: I'm sorry.

MS. LEVIN: And as far as putting defense counsel on notice, we mentioned this in our government's version. We also put it in our sentencing memorandum. So it shouldn't be a shock to anybody that the government is seeking this restitution. And we cited cases that support providing restitution to victims of sex trafficking in similar circumstances where it has been awarded, based upon the services that the victims provided when the money went to the trafficker.

MR. SHAVER: They have not provided in paper the specifics of what was done in this case that deserves restitution. They simply haven't. If the only restitution is based on the funds that were paid for the sex acts in the case, how many sex acts were there? Estimates? Is that an appropriate estimate for a restitution? I don't think so.

MS. LEVIN: Based on the testimony that was given under oath and the jury found them credible and there is no evidence to the contrary, yes, it actually is, Judge, because it's confined to the period that they testified they were trafficked, based upon working every single day. And it is a very moderate estimate of \$500 a day. And there was testimony regarding the prices that were charged for each of the sex acts. I have a copy, Judge. Would you like --

THE COURT: Yeah, I would like to see it.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, while you're waiting on

1 that, two quick things, please. We never dealt with the 2 forfeiture thing. I'm willing to waive the forfeiture thing, 3 but the Federal agents took a computer of my mom's that was 4 not used in trial, has no -- was no part of the case 5 whatsoever, got all our family pictures on that my aunt would 6 like back. And it's a whole bunch of family irreplaceable 7 pictures that --8 THE COURT: Did you hear him? 9 THE DEFENDANT: That even affected them having the 10 proper pictures for my mom's obituary, you know, that we've 11 been trying to get back for years. 12 MS. LEVIN: Judge, it has previously been returned 13 to the defendant's sister. 14 THE DEFENDANT: No, it hasn't, your Honor. We've 15 been asking about this for long. Is there a receipt that 16 you -- that it has been returned to my sister? 17 MS. LEVIN: Yes, Judge. And we can certainly 18 provide it to defense counsel. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 21 THE COURT: Well, it did say you would submit a 22 victim information sheet for restitution in advance of 23 sentencing. And you don't cite any Seventh Circuit cases. 24 MS. LEVIN: That is true, there is no Seventh 25 Circuit case cited there.

1	THE COURT: I guess, without anything being
2	submitted, I didn't have any reason to really look into it.
3	Okay. I don't think there is going to be any money, so I
4	think we're spending additional time in a too warm courtroom
5	for something that isn't going to be very useful. But I'm
6	not going to order restitution based on something that I was
7	just given now. And this is the end of this case. You
8	wanted to go to sentencing today, we did. All right. Thank
9	you.
10	MR. SHAVER: Thank you, Judge.
11	THE CLERK: All rise.
12	(Which were all the proceedings heard.)
13	CERTIFICATE
14	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
15	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
16	/s/Sandra M. Tennis January 4, 2016
17	Sandra M. Tennis Date
18	Official Court Reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	